

# **Reporting the Academic Performance Index Growth and Awards for 2000–2001 to Staff and Parents**

## **Communications Assistance Packet**



**October 2001**

prepared by the  
**Policy and Evaluation Division  
California Department of Education**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction .....	1
Update on the PSAA .....	3
Talking Points for Principals .....	7
PSAA Timeline .....	9
API Reporting Cycles .....	11
Main API System and Alternative Accountability System .....	12
State Monetary Awards Programs Based on the API .....	13
Proposed Changes to the Regulations for the API and Related Award Programs .....	14
Schoolwide and Subgroup Growth Targets .....	18
Academic Performance Index (API) Criteria for Awards Eligibility .....	19
<b>Information for Staff</b>	
<b>Questions and Answers about...</b>	
API Growth .....	21
Awards .....	25
II/USP .....	28
Alternative Accountability System .....	29
Sample Letter to Staff .....	30
<b>Information for Parents</b>	
Sample School/Home Newsletter Insert .....	33
Sample Letter to Parents .....	34
Sample Parent Brochures	
Reporting the Academic Performance Index Growth and Awards .....	36
Information Guide—Governor’s Performance Award Program .....	38
Information Guide—Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act .....	40
<b>Calculating 2000 to 2001 Growth in the API</b>	
Calculating 2000 to 2001 Schoolwide Growth in the API .....	43
Determining Comparable Improvement for 2000 to 2001 .....	44
School Worksheets .....	46
<b>Valid API, Participation Rate, and Funding Formula for the GPA</b>	
Determining a Valid API, Participation Rate, and Award Amount for the GPA .....	49
<b>Sample School Reports for 2000 to 2001 Growth</b>	
Sample School Reports for 2000 to 2001 Growth .....	52
<b>More Information</b>	
PSAA Reference Guide to the Internet and CDE Contacts .....	61

# INTRODUCTION

---

The Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA), signed into law in April 1999, authorized the creation of a new accountability system for California public schools. The PSAA has three main components: the Academic Performance Index (API), the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP), and the Governor's Performance Award (GPA) program.

Growth on the API is the central focus of the PSAA. In January 2001, schools received their 2000 API results that serve as the base for the second reporting cycle. In October 2001, schools receive their 2000–2001 API growth results, completing the second reporting cycle. These results determine if a school is eligible for awards or interventions.

A solid understanding of each school's 2000–2001 API growth report will require the active involvement of teachers, students, parents, guardians, and community members. The support of these key stakeholders will enhance their knowledge and understanding of this new school accountability system and of their roles in helping all students reach their academic goals.

The Communications Assistance Packet for Reporting the Academic Performance Index: 2000–2001 Growth and Awards to Staff and Parents is designed to help districts and schools provide information and answer questions about the PSAA and 2000–2001 API results. The packet includes questions and answers for teachers and parents, sample letters, talking points for principals, a sample school/home newsletter insert and parent brochure master, graphic displays and worksheets for calculating the 2000–2001 API growth, and sample API school reports. These materials should be shared with district and school leaders who work with staffs, parents, students, and community leaders.

## Teacher Information about PSAA

---

District and school employees, particularly teachers, are key to the success of this major school improvement effort. Teachers play a major role in continuing to develop instructional programs to improve academic achievement. In addition, parents and community members turn to teachers for answers to their questions or concerns. Activities to help teachers prepare for their role as key communicators could include:

- Schedule staff information sessions to prepare teachers and identified support staff for answering general questions about PSAA and the 2000–2001 API growth reports, awards, and interventions. Staff members also will be asked when, where, and how parents are to receive information.
- Explain to teachers when and what results will be placed on the website to prepare them for questions they may receive from parents and other community members. Provide teachers and support staff with all the information materials that parents receive.
- Plan a schoolwide event to “celebrate success” if growth targets for the school and its significant subgroups of students are met.

## Informing Parents

---

Schools and/or districts need to provide a variety of opportunities for sharing information with parents. Suggested activities include:

- Plan at least one meeting with parents when the 2000–2001 Growth API results are released. Show what the reports look like and explain the types of information included and how results are to be used.
- Involve parents and community leaders in the “celebrate success” event if the school’s growth targets are met.
- Include information about the PSAA and the 2000–2001 Growth API results in school/home newsletters to announce coming results, explain their significance, and restate plans for improving student achievement.
- Establish a plan for meeting school targets during the next API reporting cycle if the 2000–2001 growth targets are not met. Work with parent leaders to share the plan with all parents.
- Provide special information sessions or materials for parents who may need assistance in English.

## Student Communications

---

Students need to have an understanding of the 2000–2001 Growth API and what it means for their school. Information activities for students might include:

- Inform student leaders about how and when school API results are to be reported, what they mean, and how they are to be used.
- Schedule student information sessions in each homeroom when the 2000–2001 Growth API results are released. Prepare “answers to student questions” information for student newspapers.
- Provide translations about the API results for students who may need assistance in English.
- Involve students in the “celebrate success” event if growth targets are met.
- Prior to annual state testing, distribute STAR information to students that encourages them to do their best.

# UPDATE ON THE PSAA

- The Public Schools Accountability Act of 1999 (PSAA) was enacted into law in April 1999 (Chapter 3 of 1999). Senate Bill 1552 (Chapter 695 of 2000) amended the PSAA in 2000.
- The PSAA has three main components: the Academic Performance Index (API), the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP), and the Governor's Performance Award (GPA) program. The PSAA also calls for an Alternative Accountability System for non-traditional or small schools.

## Academic Performance Index (API) and Growth

- The 2001 API for growth is a numeric index (or score) between 200 to 1000, reflecting a school's performance on results of the 2001 administration of the Stanford 9. This is a nationally-normed test that is administered annually to California public school students in grades 2 through 11 as part of the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) program.
- Other performance indicators such as the results of the California Standards Tests and the California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) and graduation and attendance rates will be added to the API when the data are available. The English-Language Arts Standards Test is anticipated to be added to the 2001 base API (see "API Reporting Cycles"). The law requires that test results constitute at least 60 percent of the API.
- Schools receiving a "base" API score are ranked in ten categories of equal size (deciles) from one (lowest) to ten (highest). A school's base API score and ranking are compared to schools statewide and to schools with similar demographic characteristics. An API score of 800 will serve as the interim growth target for all schools until state performance standards are adopted.
- Schools receiving a base API score also receive base API scores for each numerically significant ethnic and socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroup in the school. Growth targets are set for the school as a whole and for each numerically significant subgroup.
- The annual growth target for a school is five percent of the distance between a school's API and the interim statewide performance target of 800 and is in no case less than 1 point. A school with an API of 800 or more must maintain an API of at least 800 in order to meet its growth target. In most cases, the growth target for each numerically significant subgroup is 80 percent of the schoolwide target.
- The 2000–2001 API growth reports provided in October 2001 include each school's 2001 STAR percent tested, 2000 API base score, 2001 API growth score, 2000–2001 growth target and actual growth, whether growth targets were met, and the school's eligibility for the Governor's Performance Award (GPA) program. An API and growth report for each numerically significant subgroup in the school also are included.

- The 2000–2001 API growth reports will be provided for all schools in the main API system, for schools in the Alternative Schools Accountability Model that opt into the main API system, and for small schools with between 11 and 99 valid Stanford 9 test scores (see “Main API System and Alternative Accountability System”).
- The 2001 API growth results are scheduled to be posted on the California Department of Education (CDE) API website at <http://api.cde.ca.gov> on October 15, 2001.
- Schools must report their API results in their local School Accountability Report Cards annually. Each school district’s governing board also must discuss these results at a regularly scheduled public meeting.
- Generally, API results are reported twice a year: (1) base year reports each January and (2) growth reports each fall.

---

### Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP)

---

- For the 2001–2002 school year, \$21.5 million is available to support a third group of 430 schools that volunteered and were selected for the II/USP, based on the 2000–2001 growth results.
- Each year, schools that place in the lower five deciles of the previous year’s statewide API ranking and do not meet their annual growth targets are eligible for the II/USP. For schools with demographic data errors at the time eligibility is determined, alternate criteria for school growth may be applied.
- Under the II/USP, schools are required to write an action plan and receive assistance to improve academically.
- II/USP schools are eligible to submit a competitive application for the Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration (CSRDP) program.
- Schools already in the II/USP that continue to fall below their targets or do not show significant growth may be subject to local interventions or eventually to state sanctions.

**Note: Assembly Bill 961, if signed into law, will immediately modify the II/USP.**

---

### API School Awards Programs

---

- For the 2001–2002 school year, two awards programs are scheduled to provide funds for schools and/or school site employees, based on 2000–2001 API growth: (1) the Governor’s Performance Award (GPA) and (2) the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award (Assembly Bill 1114). The School Site Employee Performance Bonus, given last year, was for the 2000–2001 school year only.
- A combined total of \$257 million has been allocated for the two awards: \$157 million to schools for GPA; \$100 million to all certificated staff at selected sites for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award.

- Schools receiving the GPA award will be notified through their districts in October 2001. The award money will be distributed after the first of the year in 2002. Schools eligible for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award will be notified of their eligibility in January 2002, and funds will be awarded after May 2002.

## API Teacher Award Programs

---

- The Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program provided \$164 million in 2001 to help attract qualified teachers and principals to serve in low performing schools. Funding for 2002 is expected to be appropriated for this program. Teachers and principals who commit to serve in designated low performing schools for five years qualify for tax credits or reduced interest rate home loans. Low performing schools are defined as K-12 public schools that rank in the bottom 30 percent based on the most recent API (i.e., schools with APIs in deciles 1, 2, or 3). For more information, contact the State Treasurer's Office at (916) 653-3255 or (213) 620-4467 or visit the program's website at <http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/csfa/extracredit/extracredit.htm>.
- The Teaching as a Priority (TAP) Program provided \$118.7 million in funding to districts in the 2000-2001 school year to recruit and retain fully-credentialed teachers in under-performing schools (API deciles 1-5). It is expected that funding will be appropriated for the 2001-2002 school year, and, if appropriated, new grant requests will be due sometime in February 2002. Funding varies by enrollment and API. Schools with an API in deciles 1-3 receive one and a half times the funding as schools with an API in deciles 4-5. For more information, contact the Curriculum Leadership Unit of the California Department of Education (CDE) at (916) 323-5505 or visit the website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/pr/tap/rfa.htm>.

## Alternative Accountability System

---

- The State Board of Education in July 2000 approved the framework for an Alternative Accountability System comprised of three models to be implemented over a three-year period: (1) Small Schools, Model for schools that serve traditional populations but have between 11 and 99 valid test scores; (2) Special Education Schools and Centers Model; (3) Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) for alternative schools serving a majority of high-risk students including continuation schools, opportunity schools, community day schools, and county court and community schools. Very small schools with fewer than 11 valid test scores will also be held accountable under the third model.
- The Small Schools Model now is part of the Main Accountability System. In January 2001 schools in this model received a 2000 API Base with an asterisk to designate the larger statistical uncertainty of an API based on fewer than 100 valid test scores. These schools received schoolwide and subgroup growth targets for 2001-2002. The 2000-2001 API Growth report includes these schools in the Main API system.
- Schools in the Special Education Schools and Centers Model are held accountable through the IEP and Quality Assurance System. Additional accountability measures are not proposed at this time for schools in this model.

- In September 2001 schools in the Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) adopted two performance indicators in addition to STAR. These schools will report baseline data on the adopted indicators for the 2001–2002 school year in July 2002.

## **Proposed API Legislation**

---

- Assembly Bill 961 proposes to establish the High Priority Schools Grant Program, a voluntary grant program for schools in the lowest deciles of the API. Schools in deciles 1 to 5 according to the 2000 API Base will be eligible, but the lowest ranking schools will have first priority for funding. Schools that participate in this program also will be in the II/USP. Schools that receive funding for this program will receive \$200 per enrolled pupil in addition to their II/USP funding.
- Assembly Bill 295 proposes that results of the California English Language Development Test (CELDT) be included as one of the indicators in the API, that similar characteristics for the API similar schools ranking include teacher and administrator mobility and the percentage of pupils enrolled in Advanced Placement courses, and that the California School Information Services be fully operational by 2004-2005 for purposes of the API.
- Senate Bill 347 and Senate Bill 735 propose that, to be eligible for the GPA funds, schools must meet or exceed their API growth targets or increase by five points, whichever is greater, and must meet or exceed their API subgroup growth targets or increase by four points, whichever is greater.
- Assembly Bill 1295 proposes that traditional schools with between 11 to 99 valid test scores shall receive an API with an asterisk. Subgroups for these schools will be defined by the State Superintendent of Public Instruction, with approval by the State Board of Education. The bill also proposes to revise certain requirements of the II/USP.



# TALKING POINTS FOR PRINCIPALS

The talking points with Options 1, 2, 3, or 4 can be adapted to address the progress of individual schools based on the 2000–2001 growth reports. Principals can also refer to the sample letter in this packet for more information. Statements concerning awards eligibility reflect the anticipated passage of SB347 and/or SB735.

- The Academic Performance Index (API) is the cornerstone of the Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA) of 1999.
- The API measures each school's academic performance, sets annual growth targets, and determines if growth targets have been met.
- Academic growth on the API is the central focus of the PSAA.

## Option 1

- Through the outstanding efforts of our staff, students, and parents, our school met (exceeded) its API growth targets for the school and every student subgroup. Because we grew at least five points schoolwide and at least four points for each subgroup and met participation criteria, our school is eligible to receive funds through the Governor's Performance Award (GPA) program. School eligibility to apply for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award will be announced in January 2002.
- In addition to reaching our growth targets, the school must show a 95 percent student participation rate on the Stanford 9 for elementary and middle schools and 90 percent participation rate for high schools to be eligible for any of the award programs. Our school met this requirement.

## Option 2

- Our school staff, students, and parents are to be commended for reaching (exceeding) our 2000–2001 API growth targets schoolwide and for each subgroup. New legislation now requires that schools show a schoolwide growth of five points and a four point growth for each subgroup to be eligible for API-based awards, and that did not occur.

## Option 3 & 4

- We didn't meet our 2000–2001 API growth targets (We met our 2000–2001 API school growth target, but some of our student subgroup results missed the mark), but efforts to strengthen our school instructional and assessment programs will help us make giant strides toward this year's achievement goals.
- The STAR test results, used to calculate the API, show how well our students performed on one test on one day in a school year. It is extremely important that other indicators of student achievement are used in future years to calculate each school's API and the growth achieved.

- Major efforts are being made to incorporate results of the standards-based tests into the API calculation as soon as possible. Our school's results for the spring 2001 administration of the California Standards Test for English-language arts will be incorporated into the 2001 base API.
- Requiring all numerically significant student subgroups at the school to reach 80 percent of the schoolwide growth target makes a strong statement that the achievement of all students is important. No student should be left behind.
- In our school, we have many limited-English-proficient students who are required to take the Stanford 9 test in English, and their results are included in each school's API. As these students increase their proficiency in English, they will also increase their performance on the Stanford 9.

**Option 1**

- The school's site governance team/council will decide how the GPA funds will be used with approval of the District Board of Education.
- Everyone at our school is very excited about our 2000–2001 API growth results. Our staff, students, and parents have worked hard to improve our school's academic performance, and their efforts helped our school meet (exceed) its 2000–2001 growth targets. We will continue to work together to reach even higher levels of achievement. It takes everyone involved in our students' education to accomplish this ambitious goal.

**Option 2**

- We look forward to this coming year and the opportunity to meet the new growth requirements and become eligible for awards. It will take everyone involved in our students' education to accomplish this ambitious goal.

**Option 3 & 4**

- We look forward to this coming year and the opportunity to meet our growth targets and become eligible for awards. The API and its measurement of our school's growth is an important tool in helping to improve the academic performance of all of our students. It takes everyone involved in our students' education to accomplish our goal.

# PSAA TIMELINE

**September 2001**

- Schools in the Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) select indicators (in addition to Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) results) for baseline year and secure local board approval.
- Eligible schools for Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP) notified and provided with application forms (third cohort).

**October 2001**

- Reports for 2000–2001 Academic Performance Index (API) Growth, including growth targets achieved/not achieved, subgroup data and awards eligibility, posted on the California Department of Education (CDE) API website at <http://api.cde.ca.gov>. This does not include schools correcting STAR demographic data.
- Eligible schools selected for II/USP by October 15 (third cohort).

**Fall 2001**

- Recommendations for the Special Education Schools and Centers Model developed and provided to State Board.
- II/USP schools (first cohort) that do not meet growth targets receive public hearing, and local board chooses type of local intervention.

**December 2001**

- Reports for 2000–2001 API Growth for schools that corrected their demographic data posted on the CDE API website at <http://api.cde.ca.gov>.

**January 2002**

- API Reports for 2001 API Base, including API base, growth targets, subgroup data, and statewide and similar schools ranks, posted on CDE API website. This API will include results of the English-language arts section of the California Standards Test.
- Eligible schools for Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award notified and provided with application/certification forms.
- Funds for Governor's Performance Award (GPA) disseminated to eligible schools.

**February–March 2002**

- Application/certification forms for Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award must be returned to the CDE.

**July 2002**

- Schools in the Alternative Accountability Schools Model (ASAM) report baseline data for 2001–2002 to local boards and Superintendent of Public Instruction.

**Fall 2002**

- Reports for 2001–2002 API Growth, including growth targets achieved/not achieved, subgroup data, and awards eligibility, posted on the CDE API website.
- II/USP schools (first cohort) that do not meet growth targets but show significant growth continue in II/USP.
- II/USP schools (first cohort) that do not meet growth targets and do not show significant growth are subject to the imposition of sanctions by the State Superintendent of Public Instruction and State Board.
- II/USP schools (second cohort) that do not meet growth targets receive public hearing, and local board chooses type of local intervention.

**January 2003**


- API Reports for 2002 API Base posted on the CDE API website.

**July 2003**

- Schools in the Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) report second-year data for 2002–2003.

**Note:** Assembly Bill 961, if signed into law, will immediately modify the II/USP.

# API REPORTING CYCLES



\* Pending State Board of Education adoption.

An API reporting cycle consists of two components: (1) base year information and (2) growth information. The growth reports are provided each fall, and the base reports are provided each January. The State Board of Education determined in July 2000 that the 2000 API Base should use the same methodology and indicators as that used for the 1999 API Base. Small schools, those with between 11 and 99 valid test scores, receive an asterisked API beginning with the 2000 API Base. Assembly Bill 295 proposes inclusion of the California English Language Development Test (CELDT) results in future APIs.

# MAIN API SYSTEM AND ALTERNATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM

## Main API System

## Alternative Accountability System

### School Participation

- Traditional elementary, middle, and high schools with 100 or more valid Stanford 9 scores, including charter schools, and

- Schools in Alternative Schools Accountability Model that opt into main API system for a three-year period, including charter schools

These schools are held accountable through API results:

- Schoolwide API
- Subgroup APIs
- Ranks
- Growth targets
- Growth

- Small schools with 11–99 valid Stanford 9 scores, including charter schools

These schools are held accountable through API results:

- Schoolwide API with an asterisk “\*”
- Subgroup APIs
- Growth targets
- Growth

**NOTE:** CDE recommends that schools with 20 or fewer enrollments also register in the ASAM, select indicators, collect data, and be prepared to report ASAM data in July 2002 for the 2001–2002 school year.

- Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM), including charter schools
  - Qualifying “Alternative” schools serving a majority of high-risk students are defined as
    - Schools that primarily serve students who are at high risk for behavioral or educational failure, expelled, or under disciplinary sanction, wards of the court, pregnant and/or parenting, or recovered dropouts
  - Very small schools are defined as
    - Schools with less than 11 valid Stanford 9 scores

These schools are held accountable through collection and reporting of data on two State-Board approved indicators and STAR (Stanford 9 and California Standards Tests)

- Schools in Special Education Schools and Centers Model
  - Schools that primarily serve students with communicative, physical, learning, or emotional disabilities

These schools are held accountable through the Quality Assurance Process, the annual Individualized Education Program (IEP), and the three-year re-evaluation process.

### Awards and Interventions Programs

- Schools in the main API system are eligible for API awards and interventions programs (this does not include small schools unless AB 1295 is enacted)

- No awards or interventions are available at this time.

### CDE Contacts

- Main API System administered through the Policy and Evaluation Division
  - API calculation—Educational Planning and Information Center (EPIC)
  - API awards—Awards Unit

- Alternative Accountability System administered through the Education Support System Division
  - Educational Options Office

**(See also “PSAA Reference Guide to the Internet and CDE Contacts”)**

## State Monetary Award Programs Based on the Academic Performance Index (API) 2001-2002\*

Governor's Performance Award (GPA) (SB1X, Ch 3 of 1999)		Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act (AB 1114, Ch 52 of 1999)	
Amount of Funds Appropriated	\$157 million		\$100 million
Group Receiving Awards	School for school wide use	School certificated staff (all site positions requiring certificated staff)	
Eligibility	Open to all schools with APIs	Open to schools with APIs in deciles 1-5 in 2000	
Conditions	<div>✓ 2001 API must meet or exceed 5% growth target.</div> <div>✓ All subgroups must meet or exceed 80% of school target.</div> <div>✓ Elementary and middle schools must have 95% Stanford 9 participation rate; high schools must have 90% Stanford 9 participation rate.</div> <div>✓ Schools must make at least five points gain; all subgroups must make at least four points gain.</div>	<div>✓ API awards eligible in 2000.</div> <div>✓ 2001 API must show at least 2 times annual growth target.</div> <div>✓ All subgroups must make 80% of 2 times the school target.</div> <div>✓ Elementary and middle schools must have 95% Stanford 9 participation rate; high schools must have 90% Stanford 9 participation rate.</div>	
Distribution Setup	<div>✓ Funded up to \$150 per student tested, grades 2-11.</div>	<div>✓ Largest gains receive the largest awards, based on growth (number of API points increased over 2 times the school's target).</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 1000 certificated staff (on FTE basis) in schools with largest growth get \$25,000 each.</li><li>• 3750 certificated staff (on FTE basis) get \$10,000 each.</li><li>• 7500 certificated staff (on FTE basis) get \$5,000 each.</li></ul></div>	
Distribution Decision	Use of funds at school decided by existing site governance team/school wide council representing major stakeholders; ratified by local board.	Inclusion of certificated personnel receiving funds decided by local district in negotiation with teachers' union.	
Proposed Notification Timeline	October 2001, after state API data have been published on CDE website.	January 2002	
Estimated Dates for Apportionment	January/February 2002	May 2002	
Items shaded in gray are pending signature of SB347 and/or SB735.			

\* The Schoolsite Employee Bonus was discontinued for 2001-2002.

Policy and Evaluation Division: California Department of Education  
August 2001

# PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE REGULATIONS FOR THE API AND RELATED AWARD PROGRAMS

In January 2001, the State Board of Education (SBE) adopted regulations for awards programs linked to the Academic Performance Index (API). The regulations were again modified in July 2001. Further revisions to the July regulations are expected to be adopted by the SBE in November 2001. The November revisions will be necessary, in part, to align the API awards regulations with the anticipated enactment of proposed legislation, Senate Bill 347 and/or Senate Bill 735.

The following section summarizes the significant proposed changes that have been made to the regulations adopted in January 2001 that will be presented to the SBE for November 2001 adoption.

TOPIC	ADDITIONS OR REVISIONS
<p><b>Adult Testing Irregularities</b></p>	<p>Section 1032(d)(1) has been further clarified to make a school's API invalid if the local educational agency reports that adult testing irregularities affected <u>5% or more of the pupils tested</u>.</p> <p>Section 1032(f) was added to address the circumstance in which the number of students affected by adult testing irregularities at a school is less than 5% of pupils tested. In this case, the school will receive a valid API, however is not eligible for any of the award programs for the current year.</p> <p>Section 1032(k) was added to allow schools that have received a waiver from the State Board of Education to exclude the school from the API for 2000 but allow it to participate in 2001, due to the limited nature of adult testing irregularities, to be eligible for the Governor's Performance Awards based on the 2001 growth API.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The school will be eligible if it meets twice the school's 1999 growth target or 10 points, whichever is larger, and meets comparable improvement for all numerically significant subgroups.</li> <li>▪ Comparable improvement is twice the 1999 subgroup target for each subgroup. In no case will comparable improvement be less than 8 points.</li> <li>▪ Additional unique circumstances are discussed.</li> <li>▪ While being eligible for the Governor's Performance Awards, such a school would not be eligible for awards under the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act.</li> </ul>



TOPIC	ADDITIONS OR REVISIONS
<p><b>Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act (AB1114)</b></p>	<p>Section 1034(a)(1) has been revised to reflect a new eligibility requirement for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award. The requirement is that the school must meet or exceed twice the school's 2000 growth target.</p> <p>Section 1034(a)(2) has been revised to reflect a new eligibility requirement for comparable improvement for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Comparable improvement is twice the 2000 subgroup target for each subgroup. In no case will comparable improvement be less than 8 points.</li> <li>▪ Additional unique circumstances are discussed.</li> </ul> <p>Section 1034(a)(3) has revised the criteria for prior year growth by requiring that a school must have been eligible for API awards in the year preceding the current API growth year.</p> <p>Section 1034(b)((2) has been further clarified by adding that eligible certificated employees must work with pupils in grades K-12 and that, per AB1114, county office of education and state employees are not eligible to participate.</p> <p>Sections 1035(d) and (g) have revised the tie breaker for schools that have identical scores in the rank order list for Certificated Staff Performance Incentive award eligibility. In the case of identical scores, the school with the greatest improvement over its API growth target in the prior year will receive the award.</p>
<p><b>Deadlines</b></p>	<p>Section 1032(j) requires the local educational agency to notify the department and the test publisher that there are errors in the STAR testing or demographic data within 30 calendar days of the initial date of publication of this information on the department's website. This section further requires the test publisher to specify a deadline for submitting corrections that is no less than 45 calendar days after the date the information is published on the website.</p> <p>Section 1034(c) requires that the deadline date for submitting the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act application to the department will be the close of business on the 45<sup>th</sup> calendar day after the department posts on its website the list of schools eligible for this award.</p> <p>A new section 1036 requires that the deadline date for submitting a waiver of any of the regulations for the API and related award programs will be no later than the close of business on the 60<sup>th</sup> calendar day after the department posts on its website the list of schools eligible for the Governor's Performance Award.</p>

TOPIC	ADDITIONS OR REVISIONS
<p><b>Governor's Performance Award (GPA)</b></p>	<p>Section 1032(g) is revised to reflect a new eligibility requirement for the Governor's Performance Award. This requirement is an API score increase of at least 5% of the difference between the school's prior year score and 800 or an API score or five points, whichever is greater</p> <p>Section 1032(h) is revised to reflect a new eligibility requirement for comparable improvement for the Governor's Performance Award. This requirement is an API score increase of at least 80% of the school's API growth target. In no case will comparable improvement be less than four points. Additional unique circumstances are discussed.</p> <p>Section 1033 reflects the proposed changes in legislation, which have eliminated K, 1 and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students in the calculation of the Governor's Performance Award. In addition, this section clarifies which pupils are eligible to be counted for the per pupil award amount.</p>
<p><b>Parental Waivers</b></p>	<p>Section 1032(d)(4) has been revised to provide for an evaluation of whether the pupils tested at the school represent the school's pupils by grade level in instances when the school's proportion of parental waivers compared to its Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) enrollment is between 10 and 20 percent.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ When a school's proportion of parental waivers is between 10 and 20 percent, the Department of Education (CDE) will conduct standard statistical tests to check whether the pupils tested at the school represent the school's pupils by grade level.</li> <li>▪ If the school's tested population represents the school population as a whole, the school's API will be considered valid.</li> </ul> <p>Section 1032(k) was added to allow schools that had their 2000 APIs invalidated because of excessive parental waivers to be eligible for the Governor's Performance Awards based on the 2001 growth API.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The school will be eligible if it meets twice the school's 1999 growth target or 10 points, whichever is larger, and meets comparable improvement for all numerically significant subgroups.</li> <li>▪ Comparable improvement is twice the 1999 subgroup target for each subgroup. In no case will comparable improvement be less than 8 points.</li> <li>▪ Additional unique circumstances are discussed.</li> <li>▪ While being eligible for the Governor's Performance Awards, such a school would not be eligible for awards under the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act.</li> </ul>

TOPIC	ADDITIONS OR REVISIONS
<p><b>Schoosite Employee Performance Bonus (SB 1667)</b></p>	<p>The Schoosite Employee Performance Bonus award program was eliminated for 2000-2001. Many changes were made to the regulations to reflect the elimination of this program.</p>
<p><b>Test Takers</b></p>	<p>Section 1032(d)(5) has been revised to clarify the circumstances under which a school's API would be invalid, based on the school's proportion of test-takers in any content area tested.</p> <p>Section 1032(i)(1) changes the existing definition of a "test-taker" from the test publisher's total count of the number of used test forms to those pupils in grades 2-11 determined by the test publisher to have attempted to take any content area tested.</p>

# SCHOOLWIDE AND SUBGROUP GROWTH TARGETS

## To meet the Schoolwide Growth Target...

If the school's API (Base) is between 200 and 780 (Column A), the school's growth target is 5% of the distance between a school's API (Base) and the interim statewide performance target of 800. If the school's API (Base) is between 781 and 799 (Column B), the school's growth target is 1 point gain. If the school's API (Base) is 800 or more (Column C), the school must maintain an API of at least 800 in order to meet its schoolwide growth target.

### Schoolwide Growth Target:

Schoolwide API (Base)		
200 to 780	781 to 799	800 or more
A	B	C
5% distance from the school API to 800	1 point gain	Maintain 800 or more

## To meet the Subgroup Growth Targets...

The growth targets for subgroups will depend on the schoolwide API (Base). If the school's API (Base) is between 200 and 780 (Column A) **and** the subgroup API (Base) is between 200 to 799 (Row 1), the growth target for the subgroup is 80% of the schoolwide target. If the school's API (Base) is 781 or more (Columns B and C) **and** the subgroup API (Base) is between 200 to 799 (Row 1), the growth target for the subgroup is 1 point gain. Regardless of the school's API (Base), if the subgroup API (Base) is 800 or more (Row 2), the subgroup must maintain an API of at least 800 in order to meet its growth target.

### Subgroup Growth Target:

		Schoolwide API (Base)		
		200 to 780	781 to 799	800 or more
		A	B	C
Subgroup API (Base)	200 to 799	1	80% of schoolwide target <sup>1</sup>	1 point gain
	800 or more	2	Maintain 800 or more	

## For Awards Eligibility...

To be eligible for awards, a school must meet or exceed its schoolwide growth target and meet or exceed each subgroup growth target. A school with an API (Base) of 800 or more must make at least 1 point gain in its API.

**Senate Bill 347 and Senate Bill 735 propose to require that, to be eligible for the GPA funds, schools must meet or exceed their API growth targets or increase by five points, whichever is greater, and must meet or exceed their API subgroup growth targets or increase by four points, whichever is greater.**

**Note:** The minimum growth target is one point. "Subgroup" refers to each numerically significant ethnic and socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroup at the school.

<sup>1</sup> The subgroup growth target is 80% of the schoolwide growth target unless the subgroup growth target would exceed the distance from the subgroup API to 800. In these cases, the subgroup growth target equals the distance to 800.

# ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## CRITERIA FOR AWARDS ELIGIBILITY

### 2001-2002 School Year

(Based on the 2001 Growth API)

School API (Base)	School Growth Target <sup>1</sup>	Awards Eligibility Criteria	Subgroup Growth Target <sup>1</sup>		Awards Eligibility Criteria
			Subgroup API (Base)	< 800	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5% distance from school API to 800</li> <li>Minimum of 1 point gain</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5% distance from school API to 800</li> <li>Minimum of 5 points gain</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>80% of school target<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Minimum of 1 point gain</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>80% of school target<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Minimum of 4 points gain</li> </ul>
				= or > 800	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimum of 4 points gain</li> </ul>
School API (Base)	School Growth Target <sup>1</sup>	Awards Eligibility Criteria	Subgroup API (Base)		Awards Eligibility Criteria
				< 800	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maintain 800 or more</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimum of 5 points gain</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimum of 1 point gain</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimum of 4 points gain</li> </ul>
				= or > 800	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimum of 4 points gain</li> </ul>

#### Notes:

The school and subgroup "Awards Eligibility Criteria" columns reflect awards eligibility if SB 347 or SB 735 is enacted, both of which require at least five points schoolwide growth and at least four points growth for each subgroup.

"Subgroup" refers to a "numerically significant ethnic or socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroup."

<sup>1</sup> Growth targets are rounded to the nearest whole number; no growth target is less than one point.

<sup>2</sup> Subgroup growth target is 80% of the school growth target unless the subgroup growth target would exceed the distance from the subgroup API to 800. In these cases, the subgroup growth target equals the distance to 800.

<sup>3</sup> Regardless of the schoolwide API, subgroups already at or above 800 will have to continue to meet the statewide interim performance target of 800.

## INFORMATION FOR STAFF

### Questions and Answers about

- Growth
- Awards
- Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP)
- Alternative Accountability System

### Sample Letter to Staff

# 2000–2001 ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## Questions and Answers About Growth

The Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA), signed into law in 1999, authorizes the creation of a new educational accountability system for California public schools. The primary goal is to help schools improve the academic achievement of all students.

The PSAA has three components:

- **Academic Performance Index (API)** – measures school performance, sets academic growth targets, and monitors growth over time
- **Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP)** – offers financial support to schools in need of improvement
- **Governor’s Performance Award (GPA) program** – rewards schools that show improvement or high achievement based on the API

An additional award program, based on the API, has been enacted as a result of subsequent legislation:

- **Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act (AB 1114)** – offers rewards to certificated staff in lower-performing schools that show significant improvement beyond the API growth target

The PSAA also requires the development and implementation of an Alternative Accountability System for small schools and schools that serve a non-traditional student population. At its July 2000 meeting, the State Board of Education approved the conceptual framework for this system.

Growth in the API is the central focus of the PSAA. In January 2001, schools were provided their 2000 API Base results. In October 2001, schools receive their 2001 API Growth results. The API results from 2000 and 2001 will be compared to determine a school’s growth. A school’s growth in the API will determine if a school may be eligible for interventions or awards. Answers to frequently-asked questions about the PSAA, API, and the 2000–2001 API reporting cycle follow.

### What is the Academic Performance Index (API)?

The Academic Performance Index (API) is the cornerstone of California’s accountability system. The purpose of the API is to measure the academic performance and growth of schools. It is a numeric index (or scale) that ranges from a low of 200 to a high of 1000. A school’s score or placement on the API is an indicator of a school’s performance level. The interim statewide API performance target for all schools is 800. A school’s growth is measured by how well it is moving toward (or past) that goal.

### What are the API reporting cycles?

An API reporting cycle consists of two components: (1) base year information and (2) growth information (see “API Reporting Cycles”). In a reporting cycle, an API Base is compared with a corresponding API Growth in order to determine a growth score for a school. Generally, the base year reports are provided in January of each year, and the growth reports are provided each fall. A graphic display of the API reporting cycle is located on the CDE API website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api>.

### What is included in the 2000-2001 API reporting cycle?

The 2000-2001 API reporting cycle consists of the following information:

- **2000 API Base reports** (reported in January 2001)
  - 2000 API Base—calculated from 2000 Stanford 9 results
  - State and similar schools decile ranks
  - School and subgroup growth targets
- **2000–2001 API Growth reports** (reported in October 2001)
  - 2001 API Growth—calculated from 2001 Stanford 9 results
  - 2000 to 2001 growth
  - Whether or not the school met its growth targets and is eligible for GPA

# 2000–2001 ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## Questions and Answers About Growth

The indicators used for both the 2000 API Base and 2001 API Growth are the same and the APIs are calculated in the same way. Schools that correct API demographic data will receive their growth reports in December 2001.

### What indicators are included in the 2000–2001 API reporting cycle?

The 2000–2001 API reporting cycle consists of the same type of information as the 1999–2000 cycle except that the data covers 2000–2001. For each reporting cycle, an API Base will be calculated, incorporating any new indicators adopted by the State Board of Education. The API Growth for each cycle will be calculated in the same way as the API Base for the cycle, using the same indicators. It is expected that the English-Language Arts Standards Test will be added as an indicator in the next API reporting cycle (2001–2002).

### What does the 2000–2001 API Growth Report specifically include for each school?

The 2000–2001 API Growth Report for each school includes:

- percentage of students tested in the 2001 administration of the Stanford 9
- number of students included in the 2001 API (Growth)
- school's 2001 API (Growth) (scale 200 to 1000)
- school's 2000 API (Base) (scale 200 to 1000)
- 2000–2001 growth target
- 2000–2001 actual growth
- information on whether growth targets were met
- whether the school is eligible for the GPA
- school demographic characteristics
- subgroup information

Small schools having between 11 and 99 valid Stanford 9 test scores receive an API with an asterisk (\*) to designate the greater statistical uncertainty of an API based upon fewer than 100 valid scores. If a school was small in either 2000 or 2001 (API is asterisked), awards eligibility is unclear at this time (pending legislation).

The 2000–2001 API Growth Reports for small schools will be updated no later than December 2001 with awards information.

In some circumstances, a 2000 API was not calculated for a school, and the school's growth is based on a two-year span (1999 to 2001). This is reported on the 2000–2001 API Growth Report as a two-year target (1999–2001). This two-year target is double the 1999–2000 growth target.

### When will the 2000–2001 API Growth Reports be available?

Public reporting of the 2000–2001 API growth results is scheduled to be posted on the California Department of Education (CDE) website on October 15, 2001 at <http://api.cde.ca.gov>.

### In the 2000–2001 API Growth Report, how was "STAR 2001 Percent Tested" determined?

This percent is calculated as follows:

Percent Tested = (Total Students Tested)

**divided by**

(Total Enrollment on First Day of Testing, grades 2–11

**less**

Students with Parent/Guardian Written Waiver Request

**less**

Students with Individualized Education Program Exemptions)

The percent tested is used as the participation rate for awards eligibility. The source of these data is the STAR 2001 Apportionment Information Report. The percent tested is rounded down to the nearest whole percent.

A student who did **not** attempt the test at all is **not** counted as tested in the participation rate. A student who did attempt items on the test, whether or not there were



# 2000–2001 ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## Questions and Answers About Growth

enough items attempted to receive a score, is still counted as tested in the participation rate. Also, a student who takes the test with one or more nonstandard accommodations is counted as tested in the participation rate.

### What is meant by a school's "growth targets"?

Growth targets include:

- **Schoolwide growth target** – the amount of improvement a school is expected to make beyond its API base score in a year. A school meets its 2000–2001 schoolwide target if (1) it meets or exceeds 5% of the distance between its 2000 API and the interim statewide performance of 800, or (2) its 2001 API Growth is at or above 800.
- **Comparable improvement target** – the amount of growth each numerically significant ethnic/racial and socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroup in the school is expected to make in a year. In most cases, a subgroup in a school meets its 2000–2001 subgroup target if it meets or exceeds 80% of the school's 2000–2001 growth target. For exact calculation of growth targets, refer to the *2000–2001 API Growth Explanatory Notes* located on the CDE website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api>.

### How is a school's 2000–2001 API "growth" calculated?

The 2000–2001 growth for a school is determined by subtracting its 2000 API from its 2001 API. For each numerically significant subgroup in the school, the 2000 API for the subgroup is subtracted from its 2001 API.

### What was used to calculate the 2000 API and the 2001 API?

The 2000 Stanford 9 scores were used to calculate the 2000 API, and the 2001 Stanford 9 scores were used for the 2001 API. By law, only scores for students enrolled in the district the prior year are included in the calculation for both APIs.

### What is meant by a "numerically significant student subgroup"?

To be considered numerically significant, a subgroup must:

- have at least 30 students, with valid Stanford 9 scores, who make up at least 15 percent of the school's valid Stanford 9 scores, **or**
- have at least 100 students with valid Stanford 9 scores.

### What are the categories for the numerically significant subgroup growth?

Subgroup APIs are calculated for the following categories:

- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Asian/Asian American
- Black/African American
- Filipino/Filipino American
- Hispanic/Latino
- Pacific Islander
- White not Hispanic
- Socioeconomically disadvantaged

### What is meant by "socioeconomically disadvantaged"?

A socioeconomically disadvantaged student is defined as 1) a student neither of whose parents has received a high school diploma **or** 2) a student who participates in the free or reduced price lunch program.

### Are English learners considered a subgroup for API calculations?

English learners (formerly called limited-English proficient students) are **not** considered a subgroup for API calculations.

### If a subgroup at a school was numerically significant for the 2000 API but was not numerically significant for the 2001 API, will it receive a subgroup growth score?

If the school has a subgroup that was significant for the 2000 API but was not significant for the 2001 API, it will **not** receive a 2000–2001 subgroup growth score. A school's subgroup must be numerically significant in both years for the subgroup growth to be calculated.

# 2000–2001 ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## Questions and Answers About Growth

### Will all schools receive a 2000 to 2001 growth score?

Most schools that received a 2000 API will receive a 2000 to 2001 growth score and report. In order for a school to receive the growth score and report, it must have both a 2000 and 2001 API. New schools starting in September 2000 that did **not** receive a 2000 API Base will not receive a 2001 API growth score. However, they will be included in the 2001–2002 API reporting cycle and will receive a 2001 API base score in January 2002.

### Why would a school not receive a 2001 API Growth, even though it received a 2000 API Base?

There are several reasons including, but not limited to, the following:

- the school existed in the 1999–2000 school year but closed for the 2000–2001 school year
- the school's number of students with valid Stanford 9 test scores decreased to fewer than 11
- the school did not test in a content area a significant proportion of students who were not exempt from testing
- a charter school, classified as traditional school for the 2000 API, elected to participate in the Alternative Accountability System
- the district reported that the student population of the school changed so substantially that calculating a reliable growth score was not possible

### What would be considered a “substantial change” in the student population of a school such that growth could not be calculated?

Examples of the types of student population changes that could substantially impact a school's API could include, but are not limited to:

- the opening of a Gifted and Talented Education magnet program on a school site
- the opening of a special education center at a school site

- the addition of a large number of students participating in a free or reduced price lunch program at a school site
- the addition of a large number of English learners at a school site

School districts have been asked to determine whether any school in their district should not receive a growth API due to programmatic or demographic changes between the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years.

### Are there district APIs and 2000 to 2001 growth scores?

No, school districts do **not** receive APIs or 2000–2001 growth scores. APIs are calculated at the school level only.

### How are the school's growth targets and growth used?

Generally, if a school meets participation and growth awards criteria, it may be eligible to receive monetary awards through the Governor's Performance Award or Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award programs. If a school does not meet or exceed its growth targets and is in deciles 1 to 5 on the 2000 API Base, it may be identified for participation in the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP).

Information about the PSAA, the API, and growth can be found on the CDE website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api>.

# 2000–2001 ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## Questions and Answers About Awards

### What awards are available for schools that have met their API targets?

The Governor has designated two awards programs for schools and/or school site employees during the 2001–2002 school year as part of the state’s accountability system:

- Governor’s Performance Award (GPA) Program (Chapter 3 of 1999, as amended by Chapter 695 of 2000)
- Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act (Chapter 52 of 1999)

The School Site Employee Performance Bonus, given last year, was for the 2000–2001 school year only.

### How much money has been allocated for the API-based awards?

The state has allocated \$157 million for the GPA and \$100 million for the Certificated Staff Incentive Act award. Funding for the GPA and Certificated Staff Incentive awards is ongoing.

### What are the participation criteria to qualify for any of the awards?

To qualify for the API-based awards:

- Elementary and middle schools must have a 95 percent participation rate on the 2001 Stanford 9 test
- High schools must have a 90 percent participation rate on the 2001 Stanford 9 test

### What are the additional eligibility criteria for the GPA?

To qualify for the GPA:

- The 2000–2001 growth for a school must meet or exceed its 5% growth target.
- Schools that met or exceeded the state’s interim performance target of 800 on the 2000 API must make at least a one point gain in 2001.
- The 2000–2001 growth for each numerically significant subgroup must meet or exceed 80 percent of the school’s growth target in most cases.

**Note:** Senate Bill 347 and Senate Bill 735 propose to require that, to be eligible for the GPA funds, schools must meet or exceed their API growth targets or increase by five points, whichever is greater, and must meet or exceed their API subgroup growth targets or increase by four points, whichever is greater.

A full description of growth targets can be found in the *2000–2001 API Growth Report Explanatory Notes* on the CDE website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api>.

### What are additional eligibility criteria for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act awards?

A school must have a 2000 API in the lower half of the statewide rankings (1–5) to be eligible for this award. In addition, to receive this award:

- The school’s 2000–2001 API growth must meet or exceed two times the growth target reported with the 2000 Base API.
- The 2000–2001 growth for each numerically significant subgroup must meet or exceed two times the subgroup growth target reported with the 2000 Base API.
- A school must have been eligible for API awards in the year preceding the current API growth year.

### What is meant by two times the annual growth target in the Certificated Staff Incentive criteria?

Two times the annual growth target for a school is calculated by taking five percent of the distance between the school’s API and the interim statewide performance target of 800, rounding to the nearest whole number, and multiplying the result by two. For example, a school with a 2000 API of 500 would have a 2000–2001 API growth target of 15 points. Two times the growth target would be 30, or ten percent of the distance between 500 and 800.

# 2000-2001 ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## Questions and Answers About Awards

### Who will receive the money for these awards?

Under the GPA, schools could receive up to \$150 per student for schoolwide use. If Senate Bill 347 or Senate Bill 735 is enacted, only second through eleventh grade students who actually took the test will be counted.

Under the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act, all school certificated staff (all site positions requiring certificated staff such as teachers and principals) could receive money for this award. Teachers with emergency credentials are included in the awards funding. The governing board of the school district shall negotiate individual teacher and other certificated staff salary award amounts with the exclusive representative of the bargaining unit.

### If school staff members have resigned from the district, do they still qualify for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act awards?

Even though staff members have resigned or retired from the district, they are eligible for award funding if they were assigned to and worked at the eligible site during the year of testing and have been included in the required negotiation process.

### Are itinerant staff, hourly part-time certificated teachers, long term substitutes, and non re-elect staff eligible for Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act awards?

Yes. Any certificated district staff who worked at the school during the year of testing is eligible for the award. The amount that the person receives will be determined through local negotiations between the local school board and the bargaining units of teachers and other certificated staff.

### How will the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act awards money be allocated?

Schools will be ranked from highest to lowest gains based on points over twice their API targets. Awards will be allocated successively until the \$100 million allocated for this awards program is gone. Distribution will be approximately as follows:

- At least 1,000 certificated staff in schools with the largest growth will receive \$25,000 each.
- At least 3,750 certificated staff will receive \$10,000 each.
- Up to 7,500 certificated staff will receive \$5,000 each.

### How and when will the awards money be distributed?

CDE will post on the Internet the API growth data for schools in October 2001. Eligibility for the Governor's Performance Award will also be announced at this time except for small schools. GPA eligibility for small schools will be updated no later than December 2001. In January 2002, the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act school eligibility and application process will be sent to districts. The money for the awards will be distributed some time after May 2002.

### Why does the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award have an application/certification process?

The Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act application/certification is required by the legislation.

### Will schools be excluded from receiving awards if they have a large number of parent waivers?

A school's API will be invalidated if the school's proportion of parental waivers compared to its STAR enrollment is equal to or greater than 10 percent.

# 2000–2001 ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## Questions and Answers About Awards

### How will schools decide on the use of GPA funds?

The use of GPA funds at the school will be determined by the existing site governance team/council. The use of the funds will be ratified by the local school board.

### Can the district keep any of the awards money?

No. Although the money goes to the district, it is the district's responsibility to ensure that all of the awards money with the exception of state and federal income taxes reaches each of the eligible schools.

### Will the awards be in place next year?

There is ongoing funding for the GPA and Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act awards in the current year. It is not known at this time if the funding level for these two awards will remain the same next year.

### Will schools be eligible for the current awards if they are part of the Alternative Accountability System?

No. The enactment of legislation is required to make the schools eligible.

### Are charter schools eligible for the awards?

Charter schools that meet the criteria for the awards are eligible for both of the awards.

### Are Similar School Ranks part of the awards criteria?

No. Criteria for eligibility is based on whether or not a school meets or exceeds its Academic Performance Index growth targets, and if all numerically significant ethnic and socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroups at the schools make at least 80 percent of the school's growth target.

### Can a school receive both API awards?

Yes. A school could receive both API awards if it meets all of the eligibility criteria. This could include the Governor's Performance Award and the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act. Only schools in 2000 API statewide decile ranks 1 to 5 are potentially eligible for the Certificated Staff Incentive award.

### Will schools that are eligible for API-based awards be eligible for the California's Distinguished School Program?

Any elementary school that met its 2000–2001 API growth targets (schoolwide and subgroup) and placed in the top five deciles of 2000 API statewide ranking, will be eligible to apply for the California Distinguished Schools Program.

Information about the API awards programs can be found on the CDE website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/awards>.

# 2000–2001 ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## Questions and Answers About Immediate Intervention/ Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP)

### What are the criteria for identification and selection of II/USP schools for 2001 (third cohort)?

For the 2001–2002 school year, schools were identified as eligible to volunteer for II/USP if they met all of the following criteria:

- The school was not already in II/USP.
- The school placed in the lower five deciles of the 2000 API statewide ranking.
- The school did not meet or exceed its five percent schoolwide target or all of its numerically significant subgroup growth targets.

In some instances, when a 2001 API was unable to be calculated due to school or district errors in the STAR demographic data, alternate criteria were used.

### What happens to schools selected for II/USP in 2000 (second cohort) that do not meet their 2000 to 2001 API growth target?

Schools selected for II/USP in 2000 (second cohort) that do not meet their 2000–2001 growth targets will continue in II/USP for the 2001–2002 school year. If these II/USP schools fail to meet their 2001–2002 growth targets after the first year of implementing their action plan, they will be subject to local interventions.

### What happens to schools selected for II/USP in 1999 (first cohort) that do not meet their 2000–2001 API growth target?

A schools that is selected for II/USP in 1999 (first cohort) that does not meet its 2000–2001 growth targets will continue in II/USP for the 2001–2002 school year and will be subject to local interventions. Under local interventions, the district governing board must hold a public hearing to ensure that the school community is aware of the school's lack of progress. The governing board must then intervene in the school to help it meet its growth target. If the II/USP school fails to meet its growth targets but shows significant growth after two years of implementing its plan, it may continue in the II/USP program for another year. However, if the II/USP school fails to meet its growth targets and does not show significant growth after two years of implementing its plan, it will be subject to state sanctions.

### What happens to schools that are not in II/USP and do not meet their 2000–2001 growth target?

Schools that are not in II/USP and do not meet their 2000–2001 growth target may be eligible for II/USP beginning in the 2001–2002 school year.

**Additional frequently-asked questions about the II/USP are located on the CDE website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/iiusp/faq.html>.**

**Note: Assembly Bill 961, if signed into law, will immediately modify the II/USP.**

Information about the II/USP can be found on the CDE website at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/iiusp>



# 2000-2001 ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX (API)

## Questions and Answers About Alternative Accountability System

### What types of schools are included in the Alternative Accountability System?

The Alternative Accountability System currently encompasses two models:

- The Special Education Schools and Centers Model
- The Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM)

Originally the Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA) called for three categories of schools to participate in the Alternative Accountability System. These included schools in the models above as well as schools that served fewer than 100 students. However, traditional schools with 11 to 99 valid Stanford 9 scores are now accountable through the Main Accountability System where they receive an API with an asterisk. Schools with less than 11 valid Stanford 9 scores are accountable through the ASAM.

### What types of schools are included in the Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM)?

The Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) includes alternative schools that, for purposes of the Alternative Accountability System are defined as schools that serve a majority of students who are

- at high-risk for behavioral or educational failure,
- expelled or under disciplinary sanction,
- wards of the court,
- pregnant and/or parenting, or
- recovered dropouts.

Schools serving these students must meet the challenge of addressing a wide range of personal and social issues that interfere with the students' abilities to reach grade-level standards. Alternative schools participating in the ASAM include alternative, continuation, community day, county court, county community, opportunity, California Youth Authority, and some charter schools. Schools with fewer than 11 valid STAR scores also participate in the ASAM.

### What progress has been made on implementing the Alternative Accountability System?

Implementation of the Alternative Accountability System has progressed in accordance with the conceptual framework adopted by the State Board of Education in July 2000 (the report is available at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/board/july00/drftpsaatosbe.htm>).

- The Special Education Schools and Centers Model currently encompasses the Quality Assurance Process, the annual Individualized Education Program (IEP) review, and the three-year re-evaluation process. The State Board will review this model in the fall of 2001.
- ASAM schools have selected two State Board-approved performance indicators in addition to STAR, and will collect baseline data on these indicators for school year 2001-2002. First-year baseline reports will be submitted to local governing boards and the Superintendent of Public Instruction (SPI) in July 2002.

### Can schools in the Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) opt to participate in the Main Accountability System?

Districts were given the option of having schools that were eligible for the ASAM participate instead in the Main Accountability System (with the exception of schools with fewer than 11 valid test scores, which must participate in the ASAM). Once a school has begun to collect data under the ASAM, however, it is required to continue in the alternative system for a minimum of 3 years. Likewise, once a school begins to participate in the Main Accountability System, it must remain in that system for at least three years.

### Can new schools participate in the ASAM?

Yes. Districts or county offices of education that have recently established, or are in the process of establishing, new schools that should be held accountable through the ASAM should contact the Educational Options Office at (916) 322-5012.

### Are schools in the Alternative Accountability System eligible for awards and interventions?

Not at this time. Goals for growth will be set for ASAM schools after the first year baseline data are collected, so that success in meeting growth targets will not be ascertainable until July 2003. New legislation will be required to make schools in the Alternative Accountability System eligible for awards and interventions.

Information about the Alternative Accountability System can be obtained by contacting the Education Options Office of the Educational Support System Division at (916) 322-5012 or [rbakke@cde.ca.gov](mailto:rbakke@cde.ca.gov).

# SAMPLE LETTER TO STAFF

## Superintendent's Letter to School Employees

The content of this letter is intended to inform all school employees (certificated and non-certificated) about the progress their schools have made based on the 2000–2001 API growth reports. The letter can be modified to target individual schools. Statements concerning awards eligibility reflect the anticipated passage of SB 347 and/or SB 735.

**To:** The staff at \_\_\_\_\_ School  
**From:** Superintendent \_\_\_\_\_

### Option 1

Congratulations! Your school met (exceeded) its 2000–2001 Academic Performance Index (API) growth targets for the school as a whole and for each student subgroup and the student participation criteria. In addition, your school grew at least five points schoolwide and four points for each subgroup. Because of this tremendous accomplishment, your school may be eligible to receive the Governor's Performance Award (GPA), based on API. Your school also may qualify for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act.

### Option 2

Congratulations! Your school met (exceeded) its 2000–2001 Academic Performance Index (API) growth targets for the school as a whole and for each student subgroup and the student participation criteria. Although you have achieved a major accomplishment, new legislation now requires that schools show a schoolwide growth of five points and a four point growth for each subgroup to be eligible for API-based awards, and that did not occur.

### Option 3

Your school met (exceeded) your 2000–2001 Academic Performance Index (API) schoolwide growth target but did not meet student subgroup growth targets and/or student participation requirements for the Stanford 9. Although your school did not meet all of its growth targets, I wish to acknowledge the efforts of your parents, students, and every member of your staff to increase the academic achievement of all students.

### Option 4

This month, your school received its 2000–2001 Academic Performance Index (API) growth report, along with every school in the district. Although your school did not meet its growth targets, we wish to acknowledge the efforts of your parents, students, and every member of your staff to increase the academic achievement of all students. We must strive to meet our growth targets for next year.

The API Index measures the academic performance of public schools throughout the state and sets targets for annual improvement, based on scores from the Stanford 9. The API is the cornerstone of California's new Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA), signed into law in spring 1999. Individual students do not receive an API, but their scores are combined to produce an API at the school level.



In January 2001, your school received its 2000 API report based on spring 2000 testing. In October, you received your 2000–2001 API growth report. The API growth reports included:

- the 2000 API base score
- the school's 2001 API growth score
- the 2000–2001 growth target
- actual growth
- whether growth targets were met
- the school's eligibility for the Governor's Performance Award

An API and growth report for all numerically significant subgroups at your school also were included.

**Option 1** [ ] The California Department of Education will be sending further details about the awards within the next few weeks.

**Option 2** [ ] This school year gives you the opportunity to meet the new eligibility requirements for awards as you continue to assist all students in their efforts to reach academic goals.

**Option 3 & 4** [ ] This forthcoming school year gives you the opportunity to meet growth targets and/or participation criteria for 2001–2002. Your school may become eligible for future monetary awards through the Governor's Performance Award (GPA) and/or the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act.

Information about the PSAA, API results and the awards program can be found on the Internet at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa>.

The District Board of Education and I wish to commend your entire staff for working so hard to improve your school's academic performance. (If you are planning a districtwide recognition event, you may want to insert information about it here.) We appreciate your ongoing support as we work together to provide the very best possible educational program for all of our children.

## INFORMATION FOR PARENTS

Sample School/Home Newsletter Insert

Sample Letter to Parents

Sample Parent Brochures

- Reporting the Academic Performance Index Growth and Awards
- Information Guide—Governor's Performance Award Program
- Information Guide—Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act

# SAMPLE SCHOOL/HOME NEWSLETTER INSERT

The content of this newsletter insert is written for schools that meet all eligibility requirements for awards through the API-based awards programs. Principals of schools not meeting all of the requirements can refer to various content options shown for the Sample Principal's Letter to Parents to see what might be written. Statements concerning awards eligibility reflect the anticipated passage of SB 347 and/or SB 735.

\_\_\_\_\_ School has met (exceeded) its 2000–2001 Academic Performance Index (API) growth targets for the school as a whole and for each student subgroup. In addition, the school met its student participation requirements and grew at least five points schoolwide and at least four points for each subgroup. This means that the school is eligible for the Governor's Performance Award (GPA). The school also may be eligible for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award.

The API measures the academic performance of public schools throughout the state and sets targets for annual improvement. The growth targets are based on scores from the Stanford 9. The API is the cornerstone of California's new Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA), signed into law in spring 1999. Individual students do not receive an API, but their scores are combined to produce an API at the school level.

In January 2001, our school received its 2000 API report, based on spring 2000 testing. In October, the school received its 2000–2001 API growth report. The API growth reports included:

- the 2000 API base score
- the school's 2001 API growth score
- the 2000–2001 growth target
- the 2000–2001 actual growth
- whether growth targets were met
- the school's eligibility for the Governor's Performance Award

An API and growth results for all numerically significant subgroups at the school also were included.

A parent information meeting has been scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_ (date) from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to look at the school's 2000–2001 API growth results and respond to questions about this important accountability program. Ways that parents can become actively involved in ongoing efforts to improve the academic achievement of all students will be discussed at that time.

Information about the PSAA, API results and the awards program can be found at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa> on the Internet. The California Department of Education will be sending further details about the awards within the next few weeks. That information will be shared with parents in the next newsletter.

# SAMPLE LETTER TO PARENTS

## Sample Principal's Letter to Parents

This letter is intended for use with one or more of the sample parent brochures. Principals may want to use the Update on the PSAA or selected Questions and Answers about API Growth and Awards at parent information meetings. Statements concerning awards eligibility reflect the anticipated passage of SB 347 and/or SB 735.

Dear Parents or Guardians:

### Option 1

I am pleased to announce that our school has met its 2000–2001 Academic Performance Index (API) growth targets for the school as a whole and for each student subgroup. We also met student participation requirements. In addition, your school grew at least five points schoolwide and four points for each subgroup. Because of this tremendous accomplishment, our school is eligible to receive the Governor's Performance Award (GPA) that is based on API growth. Our school may additionally qualify for a second API award program: the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act award.

### Option 2

Our school staff, students, and parents are to be commended for reaching (exceeding) our 2000–2001 API growth targets schoolwide and for each subgroup. New legislation now requires that schools show a schoolwide growth of five points and a four point growth for each subgroup to be eligible for API-based awards, and that did not occur.

### Option 3

This month, our school received its 2000–2001 Academic Performance Index (API) growth report. Results show that our school met (exceeded) its schoolwide growth target but did not meet student subgroup growth targets and/or student participation requirements for the Stanford 9 test. Although our school did not meet all of its targets, I'm proud of the efforts our parents, students, and staff have made to increase the academic achievement of all students.

### Option 4

This month, our school received its 2000–2001 Academic Performance Index (API) growth report, along with every school in the district. Although our school did not meet its 2000–2001 growth targets, I am proud of the efforts our parents, students, and staff have made to increase the academic achievement of all students. We must strive to meet our growth targets for next year.

The API is the cornerstone of California's new Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA), signed into law in spring 1999. The API measures the academic performance of each public school throughout the state, sets targets for annual improvement and determines if growth targets have been met. Results of the Stanford 9 test were used to calculate the API for the past two years. Individual students do not receive an API, but their scores are combined to produce an API at the school level.

In January 2001, our school received its 2000 API base report based on spring 2000 testing. This October, we received our 2000–2001 API growth report. The API growth reports included:

- the 2000 API base score
- the school's 2001 API growth score
- the 2000–2001 growth target
- the 2000–2001 actual growth
- whether growth targets were met
- the school's eligibility for the Governor's Performance Award

We also received API and growth reports for all numerically significant subgroups of students at our school.

**Option 2**

We look forward to this coming year and the opportunity to meet the new growth requirements and become eligible for awards. It will take everyone involved in our students' education to accomplish this ambitious goal.

**Option 3 & 4**

This forthcoming school year gives us the opportunity to meet growth targets and/or participation requirements for 2001–2002. If we are successful, our school may become eligible for monetary awards next year.

The attached brochure(s) provides more detail about the PSAA, the 2000–2001 API growth results and the two API-based awards programs. We will be scheduling a parent information meeting on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to look at our school's 2000–2001 API results and respond to your questions about this important program. We also will discuss how you can become actively involved in continuing efforts to improve our school's academic performance.

**Option 1**

The California Department of Education will be sending further details about the awards within the next few weeks. We will share that information with you as soon as it arrives.

Information about the PSAA, API results and the awards program can be found at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa> on the Internet.

Thank you for your continuing support as we work together to help all of our students learn.

---

## **California schools focus on academic growth for all students**

---

**I**n October 2001, California public schools received their 2000–2001 academic growth reports. These reports complete the second reporting cycle for the state's new school accountability system authorized by the Public Schools Accountability Act of 1999 (PSAA).

The central focus of the PSAA is growth. It is based on an Academic Performance Index (API). This index measures each school's academic performance, sets growth targets for improvement, and determines if the targets are met. Schools that reach their target will be eligible for awards. Schools that do not meet their targets will be eligible for interventions or subject to sanctions.

---

---

## **Reporting the Academic Performance Index Growth and Awards**

**2000–2001**



**October 2001**

Prepared by the  
Policy and Evaluation Division  
California Department of Education

---

### **What is the API?**

The API is a numeric index or scale that ranges from a low of 200 to a high of 1000. The state set 800 as the interim API score that schools should strive to meet. Schools that fall short of 800 are required to meet annual growth targets until their goal is achieved. Schools that already meet or exceed the 800 API should continue working to improve the academic performance of all students.

### **What was used to calculate the API for 2000 and 2001?**

Results of the Stanford 9 test, given each spring as part of the state's Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) program, were used to calculate a school's API for the 2000 Base and 2001 Growth.

Additional information about a school's academic performance will be used for the API in future years. That achievement data may include results of other tests that are aligned to state standards, primary language tests, and attendance and graduation rates.

### **What does the 2000–2001 growth report include?**

This report includes each school's 2000 and 2001 API scores, the 2000–2001 growth target and actual growth, whether the target was met, and the school's eligibility for awards. The report also includes the same type of information for subgroups of students at the school.

### **Do districts receive APIs and growth scores?**

No. Only schools receive API and growth reports. The focus of the Accountability System is to improve student academic achievement at every school.

### **What happens to schools that meet their growth targets?**

Schools that meet or exceed their growth targets may be eligible to receive monetary awards through two programs: the Governor's Performance Award or the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act Award. To be eligible for these awards, schools also must show that they met student participation rate requirements for the Stanford 9. A total of \$257 million has been allocated for these programs based on the 2000–2001 API reporting cycle.

#### **API Reporting Cycle 2000–2001**

<b>January 2001</b>	<b>Base Year Report</b> – includes 2000 API, based on 2000 Stanford 9 test results for schools
<b>October 2001</b>	<b>Growth Report</b> – reports API growth, based on difference between 2000 and 2001 Stanford 9 results for schools

### **What happens to schools that do not meet their growth targets?**

Schools that do not meet their growth targets may be eligible to receive special assistance through the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP). If schools continue not to meet their growth targets, they may be subject to local or state sanctions.

### **Does the API affect my student's progress in school?**

No. The API is part of a state accountability system for schools, not individual students. As students increase their achievement on the Stanford 9 test, however, the school's score on the API will improve.

### **Where can parents go for more information?**

Parents should direct their questions about the PSAA or the 2000–2001 API growth reports to the principal or other school administrators. Further information can be found at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa> on the Internet.



---

***Will schools be eligible for the current awards if they are part of the Alternative Accountability System?***

No. Award funds for these schools need to be appropriated through additional legislation.

***Are the scores of special education students calculated into the API?***

The scores are included unless the student received a non-standard accommodation for the Stanford 9, and/or was not enrolled in the district prior to the year of testing.

---

***What is the API and how is it calculated?***

The API measures performance and progress of a school. Results of the Stanford 9 test, given each spring as part of the state's Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) program, were used to calculate a school's 2000 baseline and growth for 2001.

Additional indicators about a school's academic performance will be used for the API in future years. These achievement data may include results of other tests that are aligned to state standards and attendance and graduation rates.

---

# Governor's Performance Award Program



---

Information about the Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA), the API, and the API-based awards programs can be found at:

<http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa>

---

October 2001

Prepared by the  
Policy and Evaluation Division  
California Department of Education

---



---

### **What awards are available for schools through the state's new school accountability system?**

The Governor has designated two awards to be given to schools and/or to school site employees as part of the state's new accountability system:

- Governor's Performance Award (GPA) Program (Senate Bill 1X)
- Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act Award (Assembly Bill 1114)\*

The School Site Employee Performance Bonus, given last year, was for the 2000–2001 school year only.

### **What are the criteria to qualify for the GPA?**

For a school to qualify for the GPA:

- A school's Academic Performance Index (API) must show at least 5 percent growth
- The API for all numerically significant subgroups of students at the school must make at least 80 percent of the school's growth target
- Elementary and middle schools must have at least a 95 percent participation rate on the Stanford 9 test
- High schools must have at least a 90 percent participation rate on the Stanford 9 test
- Schools must make at least a five points gain, and all subgroups must make at least four points gain.\*\*

No application process is necessary for eligible schools to receive the funds.

---

### **How much money could schools receive?**

Schools meeting the criteria for the GPA could receive up to \$150 per student. Second through eleventh grade students will be counted.\*\*

### **When will schools know if they have won an award?**

In October 2001 included in the 2000–2001 API Growth reports, the California Department of Education will post on its website those schools meeting the criteria for the GPA.

### **When will the awards be distributed?**

It is anticipated that the award money will be distributed after January 2002.

### **Who will receive the money?**

Under the GPA, schools will receive the funds for schoolwide use.

### **Will schools be excluded from receiving awards if they have a large number of parent waivers?**

Schools with a high percentage of parent waivers on Stanford 9 testing will not receive an API. If the school receives API results and qualifies for the GPA, the amount of funding will be reduced in proportion to the number of parent waivers and the number of other students not tested in grades 2 through 11.

---

### **How will schools decide on the use of the funds?**

The use of GPA funds at the school will be determined by the existing site governance team/council. The use of the funds will be ratified by the local school board.

### **Can a school win more than one award?**

Yes. Qualifying schools will receive the GPA. Some schools meeting the conditions of the Certified Staff Performance Incentive Award will also receive additional dollars for certificated staff.

### **What awards will be in place next year?**

Currently there is ongoing funding for the GPA and Certificated Staff Incentive awards for the 2001–2002 school year. These awards are expected to continue for the next school year, but only if funds are appropriated in the next state budget.

### **What happens if a school has a very mobile student population?**

Students must have been enrolled in the district prior to the year of testing for their Stanford 9 scores to be included in the school's API. This includes English Learners.

---

\* *Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act Award is explained in a companion brochure*

\*\* *Pending signature of SB 347 and/or SB 735*

---

***Will this award be available next year?***

**Y**es. Funding for this award is expected to be available next year, but only if funds are appropriated in the next state budget.

***Will schools be eligible for the current awards if they are part of the Alternative Accountability System?***

**N**o. Award funds for these schools need to be appropriated through additional legislation.

***What happens if a school has a very mobile student population?***

**S**tudents must have been enrolled in the district prior to the year of testing for their Stanford 9 scores to be included in the school's API for 2000 and 2001. This includes English Learners.

***Are the scores of special education students calculated into the API?***

**T**he scores are included unless the students received a non-standard accommodation for the Stanford 9 or were not enrolled in the district prior to the year of testing.

---

***What is the API and how is it calculated?***

**T**he API measures performance and progress of a school. Results of the Stanford 9 test, given each spring as part of the state's Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) program, were used to calculate a school's 2000 baseline and growth for 2001.

Additional indicators about a school's academic performance will be used for the API in future years. These achievement data may include results of other tests that are aligned to state standards and attendance and graduation rates.

---

# Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act Award



---

Information about the Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA), the API, and the API-based awards programs can be found at:

<http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa>

October 2001

Prepared by the  
Policy and Evaluation Division  
California Department of Education

---

---

### **How do schools qualify for the Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act Award (Assembly Bill 1114)?**

Schools with a 2000 Academic Performance Index (API) in the lower half of the statewide rankings (deciles 1–5) are eligible for this award.

Criteria for receiving the award are:

- The 2001 API for growth must show at least two times the annual growth target, which is a minimum of 10 percent growth from the 2000 API
- The APIs of all numerically significant subgroups of students in the school must make at least 80 percent of the school's 10 percent growth target
- A school must have been API awards eligible in 1999–2000
- Elementary and middle schools must have at least a 95 percent participation rate on the Stanford 9
- High schools must have at least a 90 percent participation rate on the Stanford 9

### **Who is eligible to receive the money?**

All school certificated staff (all site positions requiring certificated staff such as teachers and principals) will receive money for this award. Teachers with emergency credentials are included in the awards funding.

---

---

The governing board of the school district shall negotiate individual teacher and other certificated staff salary award amounts with the exclusive representative of the bargaining unit.

### **How will the awards money be allocated?**

Schools that meet the criteria will be ranked from highest to lowest gains based on points over their API targets. Awards will be allocated successively until the \$100 million allocated for this awards program is gone. Distribution will be approximately as follows:

- At least 1,000 certificated staff in schools with the largest growth will receive \$25,000 each.
- At least 3,750 certificated staff will receive \$10,000 each.
- Up to 7,500 certificated staff will receive \$5,000 each.

### **How will districts know if any of their schools are eligible for this award?**

In January 2002, districts will receive a letter informing them of their eligibility and an application/certification form for eligible schools.

---

---

### **When will the award money be distributed?**

The award money will be distributed in May 2002.

### **Do schools have to apply for this award?**

State law requires that districts apply for the Assembly Bill 1114 awards on behalf of their eligible schools.

### **Will all schools that are eligible receive this award?**

No. Once the money has been distributed to approximately 12,250 certificated staff in the identified schools, the award funding will be gone.

### **Are there other award programs for schools meeting their API targets?**

Yes. The Governor has designated other award to be given to schools based on API growth—the Governor's Performance Award (GPA) Program (Senate Bill 1X).

### **Can a school win more than one award?**

Yes. Schools meeting conditions for this award will automatically receive the GPA.

---

# CALCULATING 2000 TO 2001 GROWTH IN THE API

Graphic Displays  
School Worksheets

# CALCULATING THE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX

## Calculating 2000 to 2001 Schoolwide Growth in the API

A school's growth in the API is the amount of actual gain or loss a school makes in its API score in a year. The 2000–2001 growth for a school is determined by subtracting its 2000 API (Base) from its 2001 API (Growth). If a school does not have a 2000 API Base, it will not receive a growth score (unless allowed to participate by using the 1999 API Base and doubling the growth targets).

- **Step 1:** To calculate the schoolwide growth for a school, subtract the 2000 API (Base) from the 2001 API (Growth). In this example, the school's growth is 573 minus 555 = 18.
- **Step 2:** To obtain the growth target for a school below an API of 800, subtract the 2000 API (Base) from 800 and multiply the result by 5%. In this example, 800 minus 555 is 245, and 245 times 5% = 12.
- **Step 3:** If the school's growth is equal to or greater than its schoolwide growth target, it has met or exceeded its growth target. In this example, the school met its growth target because its growth exceeded its target by 6 points.

School Scores				
A	B	C	D	E
School's 2001 API (Growth)	School's 2000 API (Base)	2000-2001 Growth (A - B)	Growth Target: 5% of Distance to Statewide Target ((800-B) x 5%)	Met Growth Target?
573	555	18	12	Yes

**Note:** For any school with a 2000 API below 800, the minimum growth target is at least 1 point. Any school with a 2000 API of 800 or more must maintain an API of at least 800 in order to meet its growth target or must make growth of at least 1 point to be eligible for awards. **Senate Bill 347 and Senate Bill 735 propose that, to be eligible for the GPA funds, schools must meet or exceed their API growth targets or increase by 5 points, whichever is greater, and must meet or exceed their API subgroup growth targets or increase by 4 points, whichever is greater.**

## Determining Comparable Improvement for 2000-2001

### Subgroup Growth and Growth Targets for Comparable Improvement

The API shall be used to demonstrate comparable improvement in academic achievement by all numerically significant ethnic and socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroups within schools. "Numerically significant" means (1) at least 30 pupils and at least 15% of a school's tested enrollment or (2) at least 100 pupils (even if less than 15%). A "socioeconomically disadvantaged" pupil is a pupil neither of whose parent has received a high school diploma or one who participates in the free or reduced price lunch program. In most cases, the subgroup growth target will be calculated for each subgroup as 80% of the schoolwide growth target.

- **Step 1:** Determine which subgroups in the school were numerically significant for both the 2000 and 2001 Stanford 9 tests. In this example, the African American, Hispanic, and White ethnic groups and the socioeconomically disadvantaged pupil population were numerically significant subgroups within the school for both 2000 and 2001.

School Populations	Valid 2000 Stanford 9 Pupil Test Scores	Percent of total	Valid 2001 Stanford 9 Pupil Test Scores	Percent of Total	Is the subgroup numerically significant in both 2000 and 2001?
Schoolwide	310	100%	326	100%	n/a
Subgroups					
• American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	no
• Asian/Asian American	16	5%	19	6%	no
• Black/African American	47	15%	53	16%	yes
• Filipino/Filipino American	3	1%	10	3%	no
• Hispanic/Latino	126	41%	179	55%	yes
• Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	no
• White not Hispanic	60	19%	62	19%	yes
• Socioeconomically disadvantaged	190	61%	245	75%	yes

**Note:** A school's subgroup must be numerically significant in both 2000 and 2001 for the subgroup growth to be calculated.

- **Step 2:** Determine the 2001 API Growth for each subgroup that had a 2000 subgroup API. The subgroup APIs are calculated in the same way as the schoolwide APIs. In this example, the 2001 subgroup API Growth for African American is 540, for Hispanic is 530, for White is 603, and for Socioeconomically disadvantaged is 547.
- **Step 3:** To calculate the growth for a subgroup, subtract the 2000 Subgroup API (Base) from the 2001 Subgroup API (Growth). In this example, the African American subgroup's growth was 540 minus 520 = 20.
- **Step 4:** The growth target for each numerically significant subgroup is 80% of the schoolwide target. Multiply 80% by the schoolwide target. In this example, the schoolwide target is 12; therefore, 80% x 12 = 10.
- **Step 5:** If the subgroup's growth is equal to or greater than its growth target, it has met or exceeded its growth target. In this example, the African American sub-group's growth of 20 is greater than its target of 10 and, therefore, has exceeded its target by 10 points.

School and Subgroup Scores						
	A	B	C	D	E	F
	2001 API (Growth)	2000 API (Base)	2000-2001 Growth	Schoolwide Target: 5% Distance to Statewide Target $((800 - B) \times 5\%)$	Subgroup Growth Target: 80% of Schoolwide Target $(D \times 80\%)$	Met Subgroup Growth Target?
Schoolwide	573	555	18	12		
Numerically Significant Subgroups						
• Black/African American	540	520	20		10	yes
• Hispanic/Latino	539	523	16		10	yes
• White not Hispanic	603	586	17		10	yes
• Socioeconomically disadvantaged	547	528	19		10	yes

**Note:** All numerically significant subgroups must meet their respective subgroup targets in order for the school to meet its Comparable Improvement target. A subgroup in a school with a 2000 API between 781 and 799 has a growth target of 1 point. Regardless of the schoolwide API, a subgroup with a 2000 API of 800 or more must maintain an API of at least 800 in order to meet its subgroup growth target. In a school with a 2000 API of 800 or more, any numerically significant subgroup with a 2000 API of less than 800 must improve by at least 1 point in order to meet its subgroup growth target. If 80% of the schoolwide target results in a subgroup target that is greater than the distance from the subgroup API to 800, the subgroup target equals the distance to 800. **Senate Bill 347 and Senate Bill 735 propose that, to be eligible for the GPA funds, schools must meet or exceed their API growth targets or increase by 5 points, whichever is greater, and must meet or exceed their API subgroup growth targets or increase by 4 points, whichever is greater.**



# CALCULATING THE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDEX — SCHOOL WORKSHEETS

## Calculating 2000 to 2001 Schoolwide Growth in the API

School Scores				
A	B	C	D	E
School's 2001 API (Growth)	School's 2000 API (Base)	2000-2001 Growth (A - B)	Growth Target: 5% of Distance to Statewide Target ((800-B) x 5%)	Met Growth Target?

## Determining Comparable Improvement for 2000 to 2001

School Populations	Valid 2000 Stanford 9 Pupil Test Scores	Percent of total	Valid 2001 Stanford 9 Pupil Test Scores	Percent of Total	Is the subgroup numerically significant in both 2000 and 2001?
Schoolwide		100%		100%	n/a
Subgroups					
• American Indian or Alaska Native		%		%	
• Asian/Asian American		%		%	
• Black/African American		%		%	
• Filipino/Filipino American		%		%	
• Hispanic/Latino		%		%	
• Pacific Islander		%		%	
• White not Hispanic		%		%	
• Socioeconomically disadvantaged		%		%	

## Determining Comparable Improvement for 2000 to 2001 (continued)

	School and Subgroup Scores						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	2001 API (Growth)	2000 API (Base)	2000-2001 Growth	Schoolwide Target: 5% Distance to Statewide Target $((800 - B) \times 5\%)$	Subgroup Growth Target: 80% of Schoolwide Target $(D \times 80\%)$	Met Subgroup Growth Target?	Met Comparable Improvement Target?
Schoolwide							
Numerically Significant Subgroups							
• American Indian or Alaska Native							
• Asian/Asian American							
• Black/African American							
• Filipino/Filipino American							
• Hispanic/Latino							
• Pacific Islander							
• White not Hispanic							
• Socioeconomically disadvantaged							

## **VALID API, PARTICIPATION RATE, AND FUNDING FOR THE GPA**

# DETERMINING A VALID API, PARTICIPATION RATE, AND AWARD AMOUNT FOR THE GPA

The California Code of Regulations, Title 5, summaries provided in this section reflect key proposed regulations related to Academic Performance Index (API) award programs. These proposed regulations are expected to be adopted by the State Board in November 2001.

## What Constitutes a Valid API

<b>Summary of Proposed Selected Sections</b> <b>Title 5, California Code of Regulations</b> <b>Division 1, Chapter 2, Subchapter 4, Article 1.7</b> <b>Award Programs Linked to API</b>		<b>Number of</b> <b>Years a School</b> <b>is Ineligible for</b> <b>Awards (Section</b> <b>1032 (e))</b>
<b>Section 1032 (d)</b>	<p>In 2001 and subsequent years, a school's API shall be considered invalid under any of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The local educational agency notifies the California Department of Education (department) that there were adult testing irregularities at the school affecting 5% or more of pupils tested.</li> <li>(2) The local educational agency notifies the department that the API is not representative of the pupil population at the school.</li> <li>(3) The local educational agency notifies the department that the school has experienced a significant demographic change in pupil population between the base year and growth year, and that the API between years is not comparable.</li> <li>(4) The school's proportion of parental waivers compared to its Standardized Testing and Reporting Program (STAR) enrollment, pursuant to Education Code section 60640 et seq., is equal to or greater than 15 percent for the 2000 STAR. For the 2001 STAR and each subsequent STAR, the school's proportion of parental waivers compared to its STAR enrollment is equal to or greater than 10 percent, except when the school's proportion of parental waivers compared to its STAR enrollment is equal to or greater than 10 percent but less than 20 percent. In this case, the department will conduct standard statistical tests to check the representativeness of the school's tested population and review the representatives of the tested population by grade level. If the school passes the check of representativeness, the school's API shall be considered valid. If the school does not pass the check of representativeness, the school's API shall be considered invalid. There shall be no rounding in determining this minimum parental waiver proportion (i.e., 9.99 percent is not 10 percent).</li> <li>(5) In any content area tested pursuant to Education Code sections 60642 and 60642.5 and included in the API, the school's proportion of the number of test takers in that content area compared with the total numbers of test takers is less than 85 percent. There shall be no rounding in determining the proportion of test-takers in each content area (i.e., 84.99 percent is not 85 percent).</li> <li>(6) If, at any time, information is made available to or obtained by the department that would lead a reasonable person to conclude that one or more of the preceding circumstances occurred. If after reviewing the information, the department determines that further investigation is warranted, the department may conduct an investigation to determine if the integrity of the API has not been jeopardized. The department may invalidate or withhold the school's API until such time that the department has satisfied itself that the integrity of the API has not been jeopardized.</li> </ol>	<p>2</p> <p>2</p> <p>1</p> <p>2</p> <p>2</p> <p>—</p>

# Calculating the Minimum Participation Rate for Awards Eligibility and Determining the Award Amount for the GPA

## Summary of Proposed Selected Sections

### Title 5, California Code of Regulations Division 1, Chapter 2, Subchapter 4, Article 1.7 Award Programs Linked to the API

<p><b>§ 1032 (i)</b></p>	<p>For elementary and middle schools, the minimum participation rate for awards programs shall be 95 percent; for high schools, it shall be 90 percent for the 2000 API growth, with the intention of increasing this rate to 95 percent in the future.</p> <p>(3) The participation rate shall be calculated as follows:</p> <p>(A) Divide the total number of test-takers in grades 2-11 at the school site by</p> <p>(B) The total enrollment in grades 2-11 minus the number of pupils exempted from taking the test either by</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• their Individualized Education Program (IEP) pursuant to <i>Education Code</i> Section 60640(e) or</li> <li>• parent waivers pursuant to <i>Education Code</i> Section 60615.</li> </ul> <p>(4) For purposes of subdivision (3)(B) above, enrollment shall be determined by the enrollment information collected by the California Department of Education as part of the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program (STAR), pursuant to <i>Education Code</i> Sections 60640 et seq.</p> <p>(5) In the case of pupil testing irregularities, the scores of affected pupils shall be eliminated from the calculations of the school's growth API, although the pupils are counted as tested and shall contribute to the school's participation rate.</p> <p>(6) There shall be no rounding in determining this minimum participation rate (i.e., 94.9 percent does not equal 95 percent).</p>
<p><b>§ 1033 (a)</b></p>	<p>(a) Schools that meet the eligibility requirements in 2000–2001 for the Governor's Performance Award Program (GPA) shall receive a per pupil award for each of their eligible pupils. Eligible pupils are those who received a score on any subject matter area test (Total Reading, Total Math, Language, Spelling, Science, or Social Science) of the nationally normed test pursuant to <i>Education Code</i> section 60642 and a score on any standards-based achievement test pursuant to <i>Education Code</i> section 60642.5. A score on the nationally normed test pursuant to <i>Education Code</i> section 60642 can be a percentile, the number correct, a scale score, or a normal curve equivalent. A score on the standards-based achievement test pursuant to <i>Education Code</i> section 60642.5 is defined as the performance level.</p> <p>(b) The amount allocated for this award shall be determined on a prorated basis from the total amount of funding available in the annual State Budget.</p>

## Participation Rate and Calculation of GPA

The following chart provides three examples of the minimum participation rate calculation for awards eligibility.

		Example #1	Example #2	Example #3
<b>Step 1: Check for 95% or 90% Participation Rate</b> Must be at or above 0.950000 (elementary or middle schools) or at or above 0.900000 (high schools) to be eligible				
<b>A</b>	Total enrollment first day of testing (grades 2-11)	300	300	300
<b>B</b>	Total students tested on STAR (grades 2-11)	280	270	258
<b>C</b>	Total IEP exemptions	5	5	5
<b>D</b>	Total parent waivers	7	6	6
<b>E</b>	Percent participation* <b>B</b> divided by ( <b>A</b> less <b>C</b> less <b>D</b> )	0.972222	0.934256	0.892734

### Example #1:

$$280/(300 - 5 - 7) = 280/288 = .972222$$

Elementary,  
Middle Schools, and  
High Schools  
Are Eligible

Elementary and  
Middle Schools  
Not Eligible

All Schools  
Not Eligible

The following information can be used in the calculation of the actual award amount for the GPA.

### Step 1: Determine the number of Eligible Pupils

<b>Eligible Pupils</b> Those pupils who received a score on any subject matter area test (Total Reading, Total Math, Language, Spelling, Science, or Social Science) of Stanford 9 and a score on any standards-based achievement test of the California Standards Test.	
A score on Stanford 9 can be <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a percentile</li> <li>or the number correct</li> <li>or a scale score</li> <li>or a normal curve equivalent</li> </ul>	A score on the California Standards Test is <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the performance level</li> </ul>
<b>Ineligible Pupils</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pupils exempted from testing by             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>their individualized Education Program (IEP) pursuant to <i>Education Code</i> Section 60640(e)</li> <li>parent waivers pursuant to <i>Education Code</i> Section 60615</li> </ul> </li> <li>Pupils that received a test but received no subtest scores on the Stanford 9 <b>or</b> no score on the California Standards Test</li> </ul>	

### Step 2: Determine Total Amount of Cash Award

Multiply the number of eligible pupils times a dollar amount up to \$150. The exact dollar amount will be available when the total number of eligible students in the state has been determined.

## **SAMPLE SCHOOL REPORTS FOR 2000 TO 2001 GROWTH**

### **Summary Reports**

**List of Schools—District Level**

### **School Report**

**—Elementary School Example**

**—High School Example**

**—Small School Example**

# Sample School Reports for 2000 to 2001 Growth

## • List of Schools — District Level

**Netscape:**

Back Forward Reload Home Search Netscape Images Print Security Stop

Netsite:  What's Related

California Department of Education  
Policy and Evaluation Division

### 2000-2001 Academic Performance Index (API) Growth Report

#### List of Schools - District Level

October 15, 2001

District: Polaris Unified  
County: Orion  
CD Code: 98-98765

[2000-2001 API Growth Report Explanatory Notes](#) contain more details about the displayed information.  
Click on the school name for a School Report, or an explanation if no data are printed here

Click on column heading to view notes.

School Type for 2000 API (Base)	STAR 2001 Percent Tested	2001 API (Growth)	2000 API (Base)	2000- 2001 Growth Target	2000- 2001 Growth	Met Growth Target			
						School- wide	Comparable Improve- ment (CI)	Both Schoolwide and CI	Awards Eligible
<b>Elementary Schools</b>									
<a href="#">Big Dipper Elementary</a>	95	780	777	1	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
<a href="#">Jupiter Elementary</a>	98	875	873	A	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
<a href="#">Sunrise Elementary</a>	100	699	700 B	10	-1	No	No	No	No
<b>Middle Schools</b>									
<a href="#">Mercury Middle</a>	98	593	572	11	21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<a href="#">Milky Way Middle</a>	NR	655	645	8	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
<b>High Schools</b>									
<a href="#">North Star High</a>	94	586	578	11	8	No	No	No	No
<b>Small Schools</b>									
<a href="#">Little Dipper Elementary</a>	100	748*	722*	4	26	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"NR" means required enrollment data not reported.

"\*" means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Stanford 9 test scores. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be interpreted with caution.

"A" means the school scored at or above the interim Statewide Performance Target of 800 in 2000.

"B" means a 2000 API was not valid for this school. The API listed is the 1999 API (Base). Therefore, growth is measured over two years, 1999 to 2001. A two-year target (1999-2001), double the 1999-2000 growth target, is reported under the heading "2000-2001 Growth Target," and two-year growth (1999-2001) is reported under the heading "2000-2001 Growth."

**Awards Note:** The "Awards Eligible" column reflects awards eligibility if SB 347 or SB 735 enacted, both of which require at least five points schoolwide growth and at least four points growth for each numerically significant subgroup.

If a school was small in either 2000 or 2001 (API is asterisked) awards eligibility is unclear at this time pending legislation. This report will be updated no later than December 2001 with awards information.

**Missing schools** - some schools in the district may not appear on this list because APIs were not generated for them. Very small schools serving traditional student populations (fewer than 11 pupils with valid Stanford 9 test scores), special education schools and centers, and alternative schools serving a majority of high-risk students including continuation schools, opportunity schools, community day schools, and county court and community schools are not in this system. These schools will participate in the alternative accountability system. In addition, schools that had no Stanford 9 test results in 2001 will not receive a 2000-2001 API Growth Report or 2001 API Base Report.

For more details about the displayed information, see the [2000-2001 API Growth Report Explanatory Notes](#).

Data file: [Click here](#) to download a data file containing the information displayed above.

This example shows the List of Schools for a district. A List of Schools for each county is also available in a similar format.



# Sample Summary School Reports for 2000 to 2001 Growth

## • School Report—Elementary School Example

**Netscape:**

Back Forward Reload Home Search Netscape Images Print Security Stop

Netsite:  What's Related

California Department of Education  
Policy and Evaluation Division

### 2000-2001 Academic Performance Index (API) Growth Report School Report

October 15, 2001

School: Big Dipper Elementary  
District: Polaris Unified  
County: Orion  
CDS Code: 98-98765-9876543

[Click here to see the District List of Schools](#)

School Type: Elementary

STAR 2001 Percent Tested	Number of Students Included 2001 API (Growth)	2001 API (Growth)	2000 API (Base)	2000- 2001 Growth Target	2000- 2001 Growth	Met Growth Target			
						School- wide	Improve- ment (CI)	Both Schoolwide and CI	Awards Eligible
95	422	780	777	1	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

*Click on the column headings link to view notes.*

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"NR" means required enrollment data not reported.

"\*" means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Stanford 9 test scores. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be interpreted with caution.

"A" means the school scored at or above the interim Statewide Performance Target of 800 in 2000.

"B" means a 2000 API was not valid for this school. The API listed is the 1999 API (Base). Therefore, growth is measured over two years, 1999 to 2001. A two-year target (1999-2001), double the 1999-2000 growth target, is reported under the heading "2000-2001 Growth Target," and two-year growth (1999-2001) is reported under the heading "2000-2001 Growth."

2000-2001 API Growth Report Explanatory Notes contain more details about the displayed information.

**Awards Note:** The "Awards Eligible" column reflects awards eligibility if SB 347 or SB 735 enacted, both of which require at least five points schoolwide growth and at least four points growth for each numerically significant subgroup.

If a school was small in either 2000 or 2001 (API is asterisked) awards eligibility is unclear at this time pending legislation. This report will be updated no later than December 2001 with awards information.

# Sample Summary School Reports for 2000 to 2001 Growth

## • School Report—Elementary School Example (continued)

**Netscape:**

Back Forward Reload Home Search Netscape Images Print Security Stop

Netsite:  What's Related

### Subgroups

*Click on "Subgroups" above to view notes.*

	Number of Pupils Included in 2001 API	Numerically Significant in Both Years	2001 Subgroup API for Growth	2000 Subgroup API (Base)	2000-2001 Subgroup Growth Target	2000-2001 Subgroup Growth	Met Subgroup Growth Target
<b>Ethnic/Racial</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	No					
Asian/Asian American	144	Yes	864	866	A	-2	Yes
Black/African American	108	Yes	694	693	1	1	Yes
Filipino/Filipino American	13	No					
Hispanic/Latino	185	Yes	637	635	1	2	Yes
Pacific Islander	9	No					
White (not of Hispanic origin)	369	Yes	842	842	A	0	Yes
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	8	No					

"A" means the subgroup scored at or above the interim Statewide Performance Target of 800 in 2000.

---

### School Demographic Characteristics

These data are from the October 2000 CBEDS data collection, the 2001 Stanford 9 student answer document, and the 2001 STAR Apportionment Information Report.

<b>Ethnic/Racial (Stanford 9)</b>	Percent	<b>Parent Education Level (Stanford 9)</b>	Percent
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	Percent with a Response*	70
Asian/Asian American	18	Of those with a Response:	
Black/African American	13	Not a high school graduate	13
Filipino/Filipino American	2	High school graduate	19
Hispanic/Latino	23	Some college	24
Pacific Islander	1	College graduate	29
White (not of Hispanic origin)	42	Graduate school	16
		* This number is the percent of student answer documents with stated parent education level information.	
<b>Participants in Free or Reduced Price Lunch (Stanford 9)</b>	33		
<b>English Learners (Stanford 9)</b>	10	<b>Average Parent Education Level (Stanford 9)</b>	Average 3.16
<b>Multi-track year-round school (CBEDS)</b>	No	The average of all responses where "1" represents "Not a high school graduate" and "5" represents "Graduate school."	
<b>School Mobility (Stanford 9)</b>	0		
This is the percent of students who first attended this school in the current year.		<b>Fully credentialed teachers (CBEDS)</b>	Percent 97
		<b>Teachers w/emergency credentials (CBEDS)</b>	8
<b>Average Class Size (CBEDS)</b>			Number
Grades	Average	<b>Enrollment in grades 2-11 on the first day of testing (STAR Apportionment)</b>	511
K-3	19		
4-6	34	<b>Number of students excused from STAR testing</b>	
Core academic courses in departmentalized programs	N/A	Students required to have alternative assessments due to IEP exemptions	26
		Students exempted per parent written request	0
		<b>Number of students tested (Stanford 9)</b>	485

# Sample Summary School Reports for 2000 to 2001 Growth

## • School Report—High School Example

**Netscape:**

Back Forward Reload Home Search Netscape Images Print Security Stop

Netsite:  What's Related

California Department of Education  
Policy and Evaluation Division

### 2000-2001 Academic Performance Index (API) Growth Report School Report

October 15, 2001

School: North Star High  
District: Polaris Unified  
County: Orion  
CDS Code: 98-98765-9876544

[Click here to see the District List of Schools](#)

School Type: High

STAR 2001 Percent Tested	Number of Students Included in the 2001 API (Growth)	2001 API (Growth)	2000 API (Base)	2000- 2001 Growth Target	2000- 2001 Growth	Met Growth Target		Awards Eligible
						School- wide	Improve- ment (CI)	
94	1,615	586	578	11	8	No	No	No

*Click on the column headings link to view notes.*

“N/A” means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

“NR” means required enrollment data not reported.

“\*” means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Stanford 9 test scores. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be interpreted with caution.

“A” means the school scored at or above the interim Statewide Performance Target of 800 in 2000.

“B” means a 2000 API was not valid for this school. The API listed is the 1999 API (Base). Therefore, growth is measured over two years, 1999 to 2001. A two-year target (1999-2001), double the 1999-2000 growth target, is reported under the heading “2000-2001 Growth Target,” and two-year growth (1999-2001) is reported under the heading “2000-2001 Growth.”

[2000-2001 API Growth Report Explanatory Notes](#) contain more details about the displayed information.

**Awards Note:** The “Awards Eligible” column reflects awards eligibility if SB 347 or SB 735 enacted, both of which require at least five points schoolwide growth and at least four points growth for each numerically significant subgroup.

If a school was small in either 2000 or 2001 (API is asterisked) awards eligibility is unclear at this time pending legislation. This report will be updated no later than December 2001 with awards information.

# Sample Summary School Reports for 2000 to 2001 Growth

## • School Report—High School Example (continued)

**Netscape:**

Back Forward Reload Home Search Netscape Images Print Security Stop

Netsite:  What's Related

---

### Subgroups

*Click on "Subgroups" above to view notes.*

	Number of Pupils Included in <u>2001 API</u>	Numerically Significant in Both <u>Years</u>	2001 Subgroup API <u>for Growth</u>	2000 Subgroup API <u>(Base)</u>	2000-2001 Subgroup Growth <u>Target</u>	2000-2001 Subgroup Growth	Met Subgroup Growth <u>Target</u>
<b>Ethnic/Racial</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	66	No					
Asian/Asian American	70	No					
Black/African American	265	Yes	516	517	9	-1	No
Filipino/Filipino American	97	No					
Hispanic/Latino	495	Yes	504	500	9	4	No
Pacific Islander	11	No					
White (not of Hispanic origin)	494	Yes	652	646	9	6	No
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	705	Yes	529	519	9	10	Yes

---

### School Demographic Characteristics

These data are from the October 2000 CBEDS data collection, the 2001 Stanford 9 student answer document, and the 2001 STAR Apportionment Information Report.

<b>Ethnic/Racial</b> (Stanford 9)	Percent	<b>Parent Education Level</b> (Stanford 9)	Percent
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	Percent with a Response*	91
Asian/Asian American	4	Of those with a Response:	
Black/African American	16	Not a high school graduate	13
Filipino/Filipino American	8	High school graduate	26
Hispanic/Latino	32	Some college	33
Pacific Islander	1	College graduate	23
White (not of Hispanic origin)	32	Graduate school	5
		* This number is the percent of student answer documents with stated parent education level information.	
<b>Participants in Free or Reduced Price Lunch</b> (Stanford 9)	39	<b>Average Parent Education Level</b> (Stanford 9)	Average 2.80
<b>English Learners</b> (Stanford 9)	10	The average of all responses where "1" represents "Not a high school graduate" and "5" represents "Graduate school."	
<b>Multi-track year-round school</b> (CBEDS)	No	<b>Fully credentialed teachers</b> (CBEDS)	Percent 95
<b>School Mobility</b> (Stanford 9) <i>This is the percent of students who first attended this school in the current year.</i>	14	<b>Teachers w/emergency credentials</b> (CBEDS)	9
<b>Average Class Size</b> (CBEDS)		<b>Enrollment in grades 2-11 on the first day of testing</b> (STAR Apportionment)	Number 1,719
Grades	Average	<b>Number of students excused from STAR testing</b>	
K-3	N/A	Students required to have alternative assessments due to IEP exemptions	10
4-6	N/A	Students exempted per parent written request	0
Core academic courses in departmentalized programs	32	<b>Number of students tested</b> (Stanford 9)	1,615

# Sample Summary School Reports for 2000 to 2001 Growth

## • School Report—Small School Example

**Netscape:**

Back Forward Reload Home Search Netscape Images Print Security Stop

Netsite:  What's Related

California Department of Education  
Policy and Evaluation Division

### 2000-2001 Academic Performance Index (API) Growth Report School Report

October 15, 2001

School: Little Dipper Elementary  
District: Polaris Unified  
County: Orion  
CDS Code: 98-98765-9876545

[Click here to see the District List of Schools](#)

School Type: Small

STAR 2001 Percent Tested	Number of Students Included in the 2001 API	2001 API (Growth)	2000 API (Base)	2000- 2001 Growth Target	2000- 2001 Growth	Met Growth Target			Awards Eligible
						School- wide Yes	Improve- ment (CI) Yes	Both Schoolwide and CI Yes	
100	59	748*	722*	4	26	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A

*Click on the column headings link to view notes.*

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"NR" means required enrollment data not reported.

"\*" means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Stanford 9 test scores. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be interpreted with caution.

"A" means the school scored at or above the interim Statewide Performance Target of 800 in 2000.

"B" means a 2000 API was not valid for this school. The API listed is the 1999 API (Base). Therefore, growth is measured over two years, 1999 to 2001. A two-year target (1999-2001), double the 1999-2000 growth target, is reported under the heading "2000-2001 Growth Target," and two-year growth (1999-2001) is reported under the heading "2000-2001 Growth."

[2000-2001 API Growth Report Explanatory Notes](#) contain more details about the displayed information.

**Awards Note:** The "Awards Eligible" column reflects awards eligibility if SB 347 or SB 735 enacted, both of which require at least five points schoolwide growth and at least four points growth for each numerically significant subgroup.

If a school was small in either 2000 or 2001 (API is asterisked) awards eligibility is unclear at this time pending legislation. This report will be updated no later than December 2001 with awards information.

# Sample Summary School Reports for 2000 to 2001 Growth

## • School Report—Small School Example (continued)

**Netscape:**

Back Forward Reload Home Search Netscape Images Print Security Stop

Netsite:  What's Related

### Subgroups

*Click on "Subgroups" above to view notes.*

	Number of Pupils Included in 2001 API	Numerically Significant in Both Years	2001 Subgroup API for Growth	2000 Subgroup API (Base)	2000-2001 Subgroup Growth Target	2000-2001 Subgroup Growth	Met Subgroup Growth Target
<b>Ethnic/Racial</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	No					
Asian/Asian American	0	No					
Black/African American	3	No					
Filipino/Filipino American	1	No					
Hispanic/Latino	3	No					
Pacific Islander	0	No					
White (not of Hispanic origin)	36	Yes	777	737	3	40	Yes
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	14	No					

"A" means the subgroup scored at or above the interim Statewide Performance Target of 800 in 2000.

---

### School Demographic Characteristics

These data are from the October 2000 CBEDS data collection, the 2001 Stanford 9 student answer document, and the 2001 STAR Apportionment Information Report.

<b>Ethnic/Racial (Stanford 9)</b>	Percent	<b>Parent Education Level (Stanford 9)</b>	Percent
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	Percent with a Response*	90
Asian/Asian American	0	Of those with a Response:	
Black/African American	8	Not a high school graduate	5
Filipino/Filipino American	0	High school graduate	15
Hispanic/Latino	10	Some college	34
Pacific Islander	0	College graduate	29
White (not of Hispanic origin)	81	Graduate school	16
		* This number is the percent of student answer documents with stated parent education level information.	
<b>Participants in Free or Reduced Price Lunch (Stanford 9)</b>	31		
<b>English Learners (Stanford 9)</b>	5	<b>Average Parent Education Level (Stanford 9)</b>	Average 3.36
		The average of all responses where "1" represents "Not a high school graduate" and "5" represents "Graduate school."	
<b>Multi-track year-round school (CBEDS)</b>	No		
<b>School Mobility (Stanford 9)</b>	25		
This is the percent of students who first attended this school in the current year.		<b>Fully credentialed teachers (CBEDS)</b>	Percent 100
		<b>Teachers w/emergency credentials (CBEDS)</b>	0
<b>Average Class Size (CBEDS)</b>			Number
Grades	Average	<b>Enrollment in grades 2-11 on the first day of testing (STAR Apportionment)</b>	72
K-3	19		
4-6	31	<b>Number of students excused from STAR testing</b>	
Core academic courses	N/A	Students required to have alternative assessments due to IEP exemptions	5
in departmentalized programs		Students exempted per parent written request	0
		<b>Number of students tested (Stanford 9)</b>	60

## **MORE INFORMATION**

**PSAA Reference Guide to the Internet and CDE Contacts**

# PSAA REFERENCE GUIDE TO THE INTERNET AND CONTACTS

The 2000–2001 API Growth results will be posted on the California Department of Education (CDE) web site on October 15, 2001 at <http://api.cde.ca.gov> and at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api>. The following provides a list of CDE Internet sites and contact offices related to the PSAA:

Topic	CDE Contact Offices	CDE Website
PSAA	Policy and Evaluation Division (916) 657-2757 psaa@cde.ca.gov	<a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa">http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa</a>
Academic Performance Index (API)	Educational Planning and Information Center, Policy and Evaluation Division (916) 657-2273 epic@cde.ca.gov	<a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api">http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api</a>
Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP)	Education Support and Networks Division (916) 657-3351	<a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/iusp">http://www.cde.ca.gov/iusp</a>
API Awards Programs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Governor's Performance Award (GPA) Program</li> <li>• Certificated Staff Performance Incentive Act</li> </ul>	Awards Unit, Policy and Evaluation Division (916) 657-2757 awards@cde.ca.gov	<a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/awards">http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/awards</a>
Alternative Accountability System	Educational Options Office, Educational Support Systems Division (916) 322-5012	<a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api">http://www.cde.ca.gov/psaa/api</a>